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## Abbreviations and Definitions

### Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>anaerobic digestion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASBR</td>
<td>anaerobic sequencing batch reactor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>combined heat and power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI</td>
<td>consumer price index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRT</td>
<td>hydraulic retention time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAS</td>
<td>return activated sludge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRT</td>
<td>solids retention time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPAD</td>
<td>temperature-phased anaerobic digester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS</td>
<td>total solids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS</td>
<td>volatile solids</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AU</td>
<td>animal units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Btu</td>
<td>British thermal units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cfd (ft³/day)</td>
<td>cubic feet per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>methane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kW</td>
<td>kilowatt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kWh</td>
<td>kilowatt hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m³/kg</td>
<td>cubic meters per kilogram</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acidogenic</td>
<td>acid producing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgSTAR</td>
<td>a voluntary program jointly sponsored by the USEPA, US Department of Agriculture and the US Department of Energy, that encourages the use of biogas technologies at confined animal feeding operations that manage manures as liquids or slurries (<a href="http://www.epa.gov/agstar/index.htm">http://www.epa.gov/agstar/index.htm</a>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaerobic Digestion (AD)</td>
<td>the biological, physical or chemical breakdown of animal manure in the absence of oxygen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaerobic Sequencing Batch Reactor (ASBR)</td>
<td>a suspended growth reactor treating waste in four distinct phases over a 12-hour cycle, including digester feeding, digester mixing and gas production, biomass and solids settling, and liquid effluent discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Units (AU)</td>
<td>a standardized measure of livestock based on animal weight – for this report it is: dairy cows = 1.4, swine = 0.4, ducks = 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biogas</td>
<td>the gas produced as a by-product of the anaerobic decomposition of livestock manure consisting of about 60-80 percent methane, 30-40 percent carbon dioxide, and trace amounts of other gases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined Heat and Power (CHP)</td>
<td>a system for producing electricity while capturing and using process heat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined Phase</td>
<td>digestion phases are in the same vessel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete Mix Digester</td>
<td>a controlled temperature, constant volume, mechanically mixed vessel designed to maximize biological treatment, methane production, and odor control as part of a manure management facility with methane recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composting</td>
<td>a process of aerobic biological decomposition characterized by elevated temperatures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Phase</td>
<td>the construction of the digester is not yet complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Price Index (CPI)</td>
<td>a standard measure of the value of US currency based on the price of consumer goods in a given year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covered Lagoon Digester</td>
<td>an anaerobic lagoon fixed with an impermeable, gas- and air-tight cover designed promote decomposition of manure and produce methane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digestate</td>
<td>the liquid discharge of a manure treatment system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digested Solids</td>
<td>the solids portion of digested materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digester</td>
<td>a vessel or system used for the biological, physical or chemical breakdown of animal manure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulic Retention Time (HRT)</td>
<td>average length of time any particle (liquid or solid) of manure remains in a manure treatment or storage structure. The HRT is an important design parameter for treatment lagoons, covered lagoon digesters, complete mix digesters, and plug flow digesters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction Generator</td>
<td>a generator that will operate in parallel with the utility and cannot stand alone (induction generation derives its phase, frequency and voltage from the utility)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influent</td>
<td>the materials entering the manure treatment system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesophilic</td>
<td>of, relating to, or being at a moderate temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanogenic</td>
<td>methane producing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microturbine</td>
<td>energy generation system that involves the direct combustion of gas and electricity generation in a single unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Metering</td>
<td>an agreement with a utility that states the utility will purchase the net energy generated by a distributed generation system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational Phase</td>
<td>biogas production is stabilized in the digester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plug Flow Digester</td>
<td>a constant volume, flow-through, controlled temperature biological treatment unit designed to maximize biological treatment, methane production, and odor control as part of a manure management facility with methane recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychrophilic</td>
<td>of, relating to, or being at a relatively low temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return Activated Sludge (RAS)</td>
<td>a process by which some of the digester bacteria are returned to the digester reducing the amount of energy the biological system expends on growth of new bacteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition/Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solids Retention Time (SRT)</td>
<td>average length of time any solid particle manure remains in a manure treatment or storage structure. This is calculated by the quantity of solids maintained in the digester divided by the quantity of solids wasted each day (in complete mix or plug flow digesters HRT = SRT; in retained biomass reactors, the SRT exceeds the HRT).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Startup Phase</td>
<td>the digester is being fed manure, but biogas production is not yet stabilized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Struvite</td>
<td>a white crystalline substance consisting of magnesium, ammonium, and phosphorus in equal molar concentrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchronous Generator</td>
<td>a generator that can operate either isolated (stand-alone) or in parallel with the utility (i.e., it can run even if utility power is shut down). It requires a more expensive and sophisticated utility intertie to match generator output to utility phase, frequency and voltage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature-phased Anaerobic Digester (TPAD)</td>
<td>a controlled temperature, constant volume manure treatment system in which the manure treatment process is split into separate phases using different temperature ranges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermophilic</td>
<td>of, relating to, or being at a relatively high temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Solids (TS)</td>
<td>the total amount of solids in manure both in solution and suspension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Phase</td>
<td>the digestion phases occur in separate vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile Solids (VS)</td>
<td>organic fraction of total solids (TS) (Burke, 2001, estimates dairy manure as excreted to have 10.6% VS by weight)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 1

PURPOSE AND BACKGROUND
This casebook presents profiles of farms using anaerobic digesters for animal manures in the Great Lakes States: Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio and Wisconsin. The purpose of this casebook is to provide a picture of the current state of on-farm anaerobic digester (AD) use in these states in the spring of 2002. The summary information provided in these profiles can help those considering using AD technologies to make informed choices and provide a general improvement in implementation efficiency and operator success. Furthermore, through sharing their experiences, these early adopters may help service providers better understand the needs of their customers, and aid the next wave of adopters in making a smooth transition to using biogas systems.

Anaerobic digesters (AD) are manure treatment systems that provide manure handling and environmental benefits to the farm and surrounding area. Digesters also produce several useful by-products that can help reduce operational costs and boost income for the farm. One of these by-products is biogas, a mixture of methane, carbon dioxide and other trace gases. Biogas can be used in a number of ways such as to generate electricity, generate heat, for energy generation with heat recovery (i.e., combined heat and power – CHP), or it can simply be flared.

**Information Sources**

A growing body of reference materials exists for those considering use of anaerobic digesters. Interested parties are encouraged to consult these resources. A brief listing of some of these follows, and full references and URLs for specific documents and sites are included in Appendix A.

Foremost among these is the United States Environmental Protection Agency's AgSTAR Program. This program has a freely available handbook (downloadable from [http://www.epa.gov/agstar/library/](http://www.epa.gov/agstar/library/)) containing technology descriptions, and recommendations for matching systems to specific types of livestock operations. The AgSTAR Handbook also contains (in Appendix F) the U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resource Conservation Service Interim Practice Standards that give design and operating parameters for anaerobic digestion systems. Another highly valuable document, *Methane Recovery from Animal Manures: A Current Opportunities Casebook*, was drafted by Lusk (1998), and sponsored by the Department of Energy Renewable Energy Laboratory (downloadable from [http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy99osti/25145.pdf](http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy99osti/25145.pdf)). In addition, there have also been several useful state-focused reports written on the feasibility of AD systems. Finally, many of the European Union countries have extensive experience with AD systems (e.g., Germany alone has an estimated 1,650 farm-scale AD systems installed), and have published excellent informational documents.
Methodology and Data Notes

Information gathered for this casebook was provided through interviews with owners or operators of the farms or digesters, designers, engineers, university researchers, and utility personnel who worked with the farms. These interviews were carried out over the period from March 2002 through June 2002. The profiles represent a snapshot of livestock operators' experiences over that period, reflecting projects in various stages of completion or operation.

Due to the limited scope of this project, it was not possible to verify all the data submitted nor to visit each operation and gather the data first hand. The numbers presented in these case profiles are “as-submitted” by the interviewees or “as-presented” in the referenced written sources. In some cases, the farmers themselves were unavailable for interviews. In these situations, designers, utility representatives, other knowledgeable parties and published resources provided the information. Sources of the information for each case are listed at the end of the section. Furthermore, the precision with which operating parameters are measured on each operation varies and in some cases, the measurements are not available. Therefore, comparisons between operations should be done carefully and measures of performance should be recognized as generally approximated figures.

Comparisons between these cases should consider all relevant operational variables. For example, one important variable is the amount of time the digester has been operational. A number for biogas produced in cubic feet per day (cfd) will be much more meaningful if it is calculated over two years than if it was for one month. Whether the measurement is seemingly high or low, a value based on short-term operation may not reflect longer-term performance for that system. In addition, AD systems serve multiple purposes in livestock operations. Therefore, evaluation of a system based on any one benefit will give an incomplete picture of the role the system performs for the farm.

Report Format

This report presents case information in alternative formats to match reader preferences. The first chapter includes the purpose and background for the report, discussion of prominent reference sources, and an overview of the report format. The second chapter of this report contains some summary statistics and tables for the case studies as well as a brief discussion of issues raised by farmers. The third chapter presents the full case studies and the fourth chapter has tabular information from the case studies for easier cross-operational comparisons.

Appendix A lists references and Appendix B lists some Web-based information sources. Appendix C includes descriptions of state funding sources and other incentives for agricultural biogas projects for the Great Lakes States. Appendix D contains a categorized listing of contacts working in and servicing the biogas sector.
CHAPTER 2
SUMMARY STATISTICS
Summary Information

This section provides some summary statistics and cross-case comparisons. These comparisons should be taken for what they are: summaries and calculations often based on estimates and predictions. Calculated figures should be viewed as rough estimates of performance, costs and revenues.

The operational status of the case study farms included in this report is defined as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>construction</td>
<td>not yet complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>startup</td>
<td>digester is being fed manure but biogas production is not yet stabilized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>operational</td>
<td>biogas production is stabilized</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Case studies of the farms studied that had digesters under construction at the time of the interview have only a limited amount of information, and no operational data. Those in startup phase have more information but still must rely on predictions for performance. Case studies of operational digesters have the most complete information on these systems and can include real experiences with operations.

It should be noted, that even in situations when biogas production is stabilized (i.e., the digester is considered operational), the farm may still be operating with a population below the digester design capacity, or management changes may affect outputs. In these situations, current digester performance might not be representative of normal performance. The case studies themselves should be consulted for details regarding system performance and history.

Two farms with covered lagoons in Wisconsin are included in this casebook but are combined into one case study due to their similarity and the fact that they are owned by the same people. It may be argued that covered lagoons, especially in cooler climates, should be considered as manure storage facilities and not specifically designed for digestion. They are included in this casebook because they produce usable (but currently flared) biogas for a portion of the year and they are considered anaerobic digesters by the AgSTAR program. Profiling these operations will give useful information on lower-end options for manure digestion.

The following table lists the farm names, farm types, digester type categories, state, and status for the farms studied in this casebook.
Farms in the Great Lakes States with Anaerobic Digesters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farm Name</th>
<th>Farm Type (Design Population a)</th>
<th>Digester Type Category</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Status b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Herrema Dairy (formerly Boss)</td>
<td>dairy (3,750)</td>
<td>plug flow (x2)</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Horizons Dairy (formerly Inwood)</td>
<td>dairy (2,000)</td>
<td>plug flow (x2)</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Plains Dairy</td>
<td>dairy (3,000)</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawford Farm</td>
<td>swine finishing (2,800)</td>
<td>ASBR c</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>startup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double S Dairy</td>
<td>dairy (730)</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>startup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Futura Dairy</td>
<td>dairy (750)</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>startup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast IA CC Farm</td>
<td>dairy (110)</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>startup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stencil Farm</td>
<td>dairy (1,200)</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>startup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinedale Farms</td>
<td>dairy (2,400)</td>
<td>TPAD d</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>startup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top Deck Holsteins</td>
<td>dairy (700)</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>startup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesome Dairy</td>
<td>dairy (3,000)</td>
<td>plug flow (x2)</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>startup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apex Pork</td>
<td>swine finishing (8,300)</td>
<td>complete mix</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>operational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin Dairy</td>
<td>dairy (1,100)</td>
<td>covered lagoon</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>operational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell Farms (formerly Swine USA)</td>
<td>swine farrow &amp; gestation (5,000)</td>
<td>complete mix</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>operational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerald Dairy</td>
<td>dairy (1,600)</td>
<td>covered lagoon</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>operational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairgrove Farms</td>
<td>dairy (500)</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>operational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordondale Farms</td>
<td>dairy (800)</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>operational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haubenschild Farms</td>
<td>dairy (900)</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>operational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple Leaf Farms</td>
<td>duck (500,000)</td>
<td>complete mix</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>operational</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Design population refers to the population that the digester was sized to serve, which is not necessarily the current population of the operation.
b. Status was as of June 2002.
c. Anaerobic sequencing batch reactor
d. Temperature-phased anaerobic digester

Each digester studied is classified by some key characteristics: combined phase or two phase, operating temperature range, basic digester type category, and cover type. The combined phase digesters have both acidogenic and methanogenic digestive phases in the same tank. Two phase digesters separate these phases into different tanks or compartments. Operating temperature ranges are generally classified into three different categories:

- **psychrophilic**: <68°F
- **mesophilic**: 95° to 105°F
- **thermophilic**: 125° to 135°F (Lusk, 1998)\(^1\)

The digester type categories represented by cases in this report are: covered lagoons, complete mix, plug flow, temperature-phased anaerobic digester (TPAD), and anaerobic sequencing batch reactor (ASBR). Finally, digester covers tend to be either fixed (rigid and permanent) or flexible so that they can expand with gas produced.

A summary listing of the digester design details for farms in this casebook is included in the following table.

---

\(^1\) These defined ranges differ slightly in some European publications. For example, the German Biogas Association defines them as: psychrophilic 10°C (50°F) to 25°C (77°F); mesophilic 30°C (86°F) to 35°C (95°F); and thermophilic 49°C (120°F) to 60°C (140°F) (da Costa Gomez, Claudius, no date).
As illustrated in the above table, the digesters in this casebook are nearly all combined phase. The combined phase digesters include: plug flow, complete mix, and covered lagoon type reactors. The two-phase digesters are ASBR and TPAD designs. All the plug flow digesters and complete mix digesters are mesophilic, and the ASBR is defined by the designer as mesophilic as well (although its target operating temperature is much lower than the others). The TPAD is the only profiled digester to include a thermophilic phase, with a target temperature of 130°F. The covered lagoons, being unheated, are psychrophilic. The plug flow digesters profiled here (some 14 digesters on 12 farms) represent a considerable variety of designs. These variations include straight versus loop designs, use of biogas mixing, pre-heating of manure, flexible versus fixed tops and use of return activated sludge (RAS).

Biogas generated from AD systems can be used in a number of ways including: electricity generation, heat generation, combined heat and power (CHP), or flare. All farms examined in this casebook using biogas to generate electricity also used the captured heat from the energy generation, at a minimum, to heat the digester itself. Many also used, or planned to use, the captured heat for other applications such as water heat, heating of facilities, and drying solids. Some equipment types and applications used by case study farms are listed in the following table.
### Biogas Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farm Name</th>
<th>Biogas Use</th>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Heat Applications</th>
<th>Bedding Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Construction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herrema Dairy</td>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>350kW Hess engine generator (x2)</td>
<td>digester, hot water, biosolids drying</td>
<td>digested solids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Horizons Dairy</td>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>150kW Caterpillar 3406 engine generator set (x2) (135kW for biogas)</td>
<td>digester, hot water</td>
<td>rice hulls, soybean hulls, want to use some digested solids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Plains Dairy</td>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>160kW Caterpillar 3406T engine generator set (x2) (140kW for biogas)</td>
<td>digester, parlor and floor heat</td>
<td>digested solids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Startup</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawford Farm</td>
<td>boiler</td>
<td>undisclosed</td>
<td>digester, hot water, buildings</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double S Dairy</td>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>200kW Hess engine generator set</td>
<td>digester, hot water, absorption refrigeration, holding area and freestall barn</td>
<td>want to use digested solids, but now using sawdust/digested solids mix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Futura Dairy</td>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>140kW Hess engine generator set (synchronous)</td>
<td>digester, hot water</td>
<td>planned digested solids, now rice hulls and composted digested solids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast IA CC Farm</td>
<td>boiler</td>
<td>undisclosed</td>
<td>digester, dairy center, floor heat, hot water</td>
<td>wood shavings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stencil Farm</td>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>140kW Caterpillar engine generator set</td>
<td>digester, milking parlor, shop and office</td>
<td>digested solids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinedale Farms</td>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>375kW Waukesha engine generator set (x2)</td>
<td>digester</td>
<td>digested solids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top Deck Holsteins</td>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>100kW Waukesha engine generator set, 30kW Capstone microturbine</td>
<td>digester, milking parlors, hot water, floor heat</td>
<td>rice hulls, plan to use digested solids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesome Dairy</td>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>508kW Deutz engine generator set (synchronous)</td>
<td>digester, dairy, manure solids drying</td>
<td>rice hulls and digested solids, eventually all digested solids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operational</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apex Pork</td>
<td>boiler</td>
<td>Burnham</td>
<td>digester</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin Dairy</td>
<td>flare</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>sand (have separation system that drops out 98%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell Farms</td>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>80kW Caterpillar 3306 engine generator set (70kW for biogas)</td>
<td>digester</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerald Dairy</td>
<td>flare</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>wood shavings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairgrove Farms</td>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>85kW Caterpillar engine generator set (70kW for biogas)</td>
<td>digester</td>
<td>digested solids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordondale Farms</td>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>150kW Caterpillar 3406 engine generator set (135kW for biogas),</td>
<td>digester, dairy parlor, holding area, offices, engine room, absorption refrigeration (planned)</td>
<td>digested solids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haubenschild Farms</td>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>150kW Caterpillar 3406 engine generator set (135kW for biogas),</td>
<td>digester, milking parlor, alleyway, reserve hot water</td>
<td>newspaper (1,700 lbs/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple Leaf Farms</td>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>200kW Hess engine generator set</td>
<td>digester, offices, lab, utility building</td>
<td>pine shavings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Energy generation equipment was predominantly of the engine generator set type, although some smaller operations used boilers, and one dairy also used a microturbine. All but two of the engines were induction types (i.e. derive their phase, frequency and voltage from the utility).

Several bedding options were used for these dairy operations. The majority of the dairy operations studied either use or plan to use digested solids as bedding for their cows. In some instances, farmers found they needed to further process their digested solids with composting to lower bacteria levels, or mix them with other bedding to lower the moisture levels. Digested solids were sometimes used in combination with rice or soybean hulls or sawdust.

The following table lists some scaled output and cost figures. These numbers should be considered rough estimates of systems' potential. The cost numbers for the "under construction" group are projections. Several of the operational and startup systems had only estimates of costs as well. Installed capacity statistics are based on the amount of electricity the installed equipment could physically generate, and are not scaled to match the farmers' intended operating parameters (e.g., herd size, amount of manure they intend to send to the digester).
## Cost and Installed Capacity Comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farm</th>
<th>Cubic Feet Biogas per day/Head</th>
<th>Cost/Head at Facility Capacity</th>
<th>Cost/AU&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; at Facility Capacity</th>
<th>KW Installed Capacity</th>
<th>Head per KW</th>
<th>AU&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; per KW</th>
<th>Cost per KW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Construction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herrema Dairy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Horizons Dairy</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$357</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>$3,704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Plains Dairy</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$357</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>$5,357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Startup</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawford Farm</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>$103</td>
<td>$258</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double S Dairy&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$357</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Futura Dairy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$409</td>
<td>$292</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>$2,193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast IA CC Farm</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>$1,875</td>
<td>$1,339</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stencil Farm</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>$333</td>
<td>$238</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>$2,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinedale Farms</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top Deck Holsteins</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>$571</td>
<td>$408</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>$3,077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesome Dairy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$433</td>
<td>$309</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>$2,559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operational</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apex Pork</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$18</td>
<td>$45</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin Dairy&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$63</td>
<td>$45</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell Farms</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$115</td>
<td>$288</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>$8,229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerald Dairy&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$78</td>
<td>$55</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairgrove Farms&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>$760</td>
<td>$542</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>$5,429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordondale Farms</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$650</td>
<td>$464</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>$3,852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haubenschild Farms</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>$394</td>
<td>$281</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>$2,630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple Leaf Farms&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$1.6</td>
<td>$156</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2,500.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>$3,895</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Double S Dairy cost estimates are for the digester only.

b. Animal Units (AU) are calculated using the following conversion factors (Source Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources), dairy cows = 1.4, swine = 0.4, ducks = 0.01. AU numbers for farms should be viewed as rough approximations of populations.

c. Baldwin and Emerald Dairy cost figures are for the cover and gas collection equipment alone.

d. Costs for Fairgrove Farms were converted from 1981 to 2000 dollars using the U.S. CPI inflation multiplier (0.528).

e. Maple Leaf Farms digester costs are converted from 1988 dollars to 2000 dollars using the U.S. CPI inflation multiplier (0.687). Energy generation equipment was purchased in 2002 and costs were not modified.

f. Costs and production amounts for systems under construction and in startup are based wholly or partly on estimates and predictions.

The following graph shows the estimated average cost per head by size range for the plug-flow digesters included in this casebook.
Average Cost per Head for Plug-Flow Digesters by Dairy-farm Size

These estimates are based on the design capacity of the installed digesters, not the actual animal population. The digester and energy generation costs for this study for dairy farms with over 500 head averaged between $400 and $500 per cow. The two farms in the "small" size category had much higher costs per cow.

Some Complaints and Problems

Not all of the farmers detailed in this casebook had smooth implementation experiences with their digester or energy generation equipment. A brief look at some of the complaints from and difficulties experienced by these early adopters can help others avoid these problems in the future.

One problem experienced by more than one farm was the production of digested solids that were not suitable for use as bedding. In some cases, use of these solids resulted in mastitis and loss of some animals. These farmers then also had the unexpected costs of arranging for alternative bedding with perhaps uncertain effects on the digester. It appears that more comprehensive information on the conditions that must exist before digested solids can be considered usable might avoid this problem in the future.

Another complaint was that the owners incurred higher than expected costs for propane during startup. In some situations, startup (i.e., the actual heating of the digester to the target operating temperature) took longer than anticipated. These experiences should help developers provide realistic estimations of the needed startup periods so that farmers' expectations are not overly optimistic.

A number of digester owners have experienced problems with their digesters when treating their herds with mineral foot baths. Bacteria populations and biogas production dropped significantly
when the mineral solutions were dumped in with the other residues going into the digester. This most often occurred when the parties managing the foot care and those managing the digester did not communicate. Including as little as one pan of mineral solution in digester inputs can cause a 75 percent drop in biogas production, and require a recovery period thereafter. These treatment baths must be excluded from the digester inputs and disposed of elsewhere.

Finally, some operations have had difficulty with operational digesters when the farm was sold or when they went through a management change. In these situations, the new owners or managers must go through a learning period or seek outside help to understand the requirements for care and maintenance of the anaerobic digester and energy generation equipment.

Successful implementation of an AD system often requires major changes in operation and management of the farm. Some systems are installed with the intention of accommodating lesser changes, but the most successful implementations are when the farm makes all necessary adjustments so its practices are consistent with good digester operation. Dennis Haubenschild of Haubenschild Farms said that to be successful, use of a digester "…has to be part of an overall plan for managing manure." Kyle Gordon of Gordondale Farms suggested that the farm must agree to make "…all necessary changes in operation" such as switching from sand bedding and using water conservation measures "…so that the system will work right." David Pueschel of Fairgrove Farms said "You have to think of the digester as a big stomach that needs to be fed twice a day." These three are examples of farmers who made significant changes to their operations and have successful AD systems.

---

CHAPTER 3

CASE STUDIES
Summary Table

The following tables list the case studies included in this casebook sorted by their stage of development. The case studies are included in their entirety in this section.

### Digesters Under Construction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farm Name</th>
<th>Digester Type</th>
<th>Farm Type</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Projected Startup Date</th>
<th>Designer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Herrema Dairy</td>
<td>plug flow (x2)</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>August 2002</td>
<td>GHD, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Horizons Dairy</td>
<td>plug flow (x2)</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>August 2002</td>
<td>RCM Digesters, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Plains Dairy</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>November 2002</td>
<td>RCM Digesters, Inc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Digesters in Startup

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farm Name</th>
<th>Digester Type</th>
<th>Farm Type</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Startup Date</th>
<th>Designer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crawford Farm</td>
<td>ASBR</td>
<td>swine finishing</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>first May 1999, second June 2002</td>
<td>Shih Wu Sung and Fox Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double S Dairy</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>March 2002</td>
<td>GHD, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Futura Dairy</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>March 2002</td>
<td>GHD, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast IA CC Farm</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>first April 2001, second May 2002</td>
<td>IA County Extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stencil Farm</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>January 2002</td>
<td>RCM Digesters, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinedale Farms</td>
<td>TPAD</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>first June 2001, second 2002</td>
<td>Ag Environmental Solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top Deck Holsteins</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>first January 2002, second May 2002</td>
<td>IA County Extension, AGRIN, LLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesome Dairy</td>
<td>plug flow (x2)</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>April 2002</td>
<td>GHD, Inc., Tiry Engineering did concrete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Operational Digesters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farm Name</th>
<th>Digester Type</th>
<th>Farm Type</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Date Operational</th>
<th>Designer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin Dairy</td>
<td>covered lagoon</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Tiry Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell Farms</td>
<td>complete mix</td>
<td>swine farrow and gestation</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>September 1999</td>
<td>RCM Digesters, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerald Dairy</td>
<td>covered lagoon</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Tiry Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairgrove Farms</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>January 1981</td>
<td>AGRIN LLC, Perennial Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordondale Farms</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>April 2002</td>
<td>GHD, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haubenschild Farms</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>September 1999</td>
<td>RCM Digesters, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple Leaf Farms</td>
<td>complete mix</td>
<td>duck</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>Applied Technologies, Inc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Herrema Dairy

**combined phase, mesophilic, plug-flow loop gas mixing, fixed cover**

Herrema Dairy (formerly Boss Dairy) is a new dairy being built in Fair Oaks, Indiana, which began construction in March 2002. The dairy and digester are being built at the same time. They plan to gradually populate it with between 3,500 and 4,000 cows.

**Digester.** They are installing two mixed, heated, plug-flow loop digesters, side-by-side. The target operating temperature is 100°F. They plan to use pumped-in biogas to do the mixing and return activated sludge (RAS) into the digesters. They expect to feed between 115,000 and 132,000 gpd of manure to the digesters at a solids content of about ten percent. The digesters' status as of May 2002 was under construction. GHD, Inc. designed these digesters.

**Biogas Use.** The biogas will fuel two Hess 350kW engine generator sets, and they expect to consistently produce between 500 and 600 kW total. Waste heat will be used for the digester, hot water, and to help dry the manure solids.

**Revenues/Savings.** Estimates of revenues or savings for this system were not available.

**Cost Estimates.** Cost projections for this system were not available.

**Information Sources.** Derrick Herrema, Herrema Dairy

Steve Dvorak, GHD, Inc.
New Horizons Dairy

**combined phase, mesophilic, plug-flow, flexible cover**

New Horizons Dairy (formerly Inwood Dairy) of Elmwood, Illinois, is a new dairy that began construction in May 2002. The dairy and digester are being built at the same time. The farm is expected to house 2,000 head by September 2002.

**Digester.** The owners chose to install two heated plug-flow digesters for manure management. The digesters will share a heated wall, be mostly buried and use flexible covers. They expect to input 40,000 gallons of manure per day at a solids content of about 13 percent, and produce between 140,000 and 170,000 cubic feet per day of biogas. The digesters’ status as of May 2002 was under construction. RCM Digesters, Inc. designed these digesters.

**Biogas Use.** This biogas will fuel 2 Caterpillar 3406 naturally aspirated engine generator sets rated at 150kW each (135kW for biogas). They also plan to use waste heat for digester heating and for hot water.

**Revenues/Savings.** Predicted annual savings include $7,000 in propane replacement (at an assumed price of $0.75/gal), $110,000 replacement of farm electricity purchases, $6,000 in sales of excess electricity, $64,000 in digested solids sales and methane credits totaling $16,000 (at $1.50/ton CO2 equivalent). Total gross revenue and savings are predicted to be approximately $203,000. Other costs associated with annual operation and maintenance are not currently available.

**Cost Estimates.** The projected cost for this system (digester and energy generation) is approximately $1 million. A grant from the Illinois Department of Commerce and Community Affairs Renewable Energy Resources Program for $339,060 was used to help fund this project.

**Information Sources.** Jeff Trapp, Inwood Dairy
Mark Moser, RCM Digesters, Inc.
Northern Plains Dairy

combined phase, mesophilic, plug-flow, flexible cover

Northern Plains Dairy is a new dairy being built in St. Peter, Minnesota, which began construction in May 2002. The dairy and digester are being built at the same time. They plan to populate the dairy with 3,000 Jersey cows.

Digester. The owners are installing a heated plug-flow digester with a flexible top. They expect to feed the digester around 60,000 gpd of manure at a solids content of about 13 percent. As of May 2002, the digester was under construction. RCM Digesters, Inc. designed this digester.

Biogas Use. The biogas will fuel two Caterpillar 3406T engine generator sets rated at 160kW (~140kW for biogas). They expect to consistently produce 280kW of electricity. Heat from the engines will be used to heat the digester and for parlor and floor heat.

Revenues/Savings. Estimates of revenues or savings from the system were not available.

Cost Estimates. The projected cost for this system is about $1,500,000.

Information Sources. Mark Moser, RCM Digesters, Inc.
Digesters in Startup

Crawford Farm

two phase, mesophilic, ASBR, flexible cover

Crawford Farm is a swine finishing operation in Nevada, Iowa. They have a capacity for a herd size of 3,000 but tend to operate at around 2,800 head, managed all-in, all-out. This herd generates just under 15,000 gpd of manure that is combined with an equivalent amount of process water resulting in a loading to the digester of about 29,000 gpd. Manure falls through the slatted floor of the confinement facilities into a shallow pool of recycled water (digestate). This treated water is used to carry the solids and make them pumpable. Manure is collected using a pull plug and a scraper system. The raw manure as fed into the digester with the recycled water has been sampled at about two percent solids.

Digester. Dr. Shih Wu Sung of Iowa State University and Fox Engineering have designed an anaerobic sequencing batch reactor (ASBR) to digest the manure. Manure is batch fed and batch decanted within the digester, which differentiates it from other ASBR systems. An inflatable cover on the 50 foot diameter cylindrical tank collects the biogas. The figure below is a flow diagram of the system.

The digester volume is about 165,000 gallons, and it is designed for a hydraulic residence time (HRT) of about 15 days. Each batch flows into the 25,000 gallon settling tank after the digester, where for 30 minutes the heavier solids settle out. Then the upper, somewhat clarified, portion of the batch is sent to a polishing tank for a 15-minute aeration to strip off the ammonia and correct the pH. The digestate is then fed back to the under-floor of the confinement area to be re-used as a medium for the raw manure. Because the liquid digestate is added to the system along with raw manure, this provides some bacteria recycling. The bottom portion of the batch in the settling tank is then stored in a lagoon until it can be land-applied.

The ASBR is designed to operate in the mesophilic range, at a temperature of at least 81° F or 27° C, with an optimum temperature of about 86° F or 30° C.
Outputs and Uses. When running in steady state (in the Summer 1999) the digester was producing between 40,000 and 45,000 cfd of biogas with a methane content of about 74 percent. The biogas from the digester fuels a biogas boiler that provides heat for the digester, and will also heat water and some buildings. When biogas builds up excess pressure, it is flared until an acceptable pressure level is reached.

Maintenance Needs. The digester, as of May 2002, requires a minimum of 1.5 person-hours per day to operate. The scraper system is not automated, and therefore requires manual operation. Long-term maintenance needs are not known.

Project History. They have had numerous problems. They had difficulty finding suitable experienced contractors in a timely manner. They experimented with different loading levels, and overfed it initially. They ordered a pre-cast concrete tank, which was not originally designed for this purpose, with an inflatable top. Pressure from snow and wind caused the tank wall to crack in winter 1999. They then poured a concrete ring around the new wall, and buried and bermed it up to give it more support. They also experienced a lightning strike that fried the control panel and the replacement panel failed to operate correctly. They also lost a transformer during a brownout. Because of these electrical problems, they need to run the digester manually when it was designed to be automated. As of May 2002, they were no longer feeding the digester and were awaiting a part.

System Costs. The estimated total cost for this system was $290,000. They had predicted the costs to be about $215,500, but experienced unexpected costs of around $79,500. They also received $30,000 from the Iowa DNR for research of the ASBR system (not included in the total cost figure). During the winter of 1998-99, they also had to spend around $4,000 on propane (at $0.83/gal propane) costs to heat the digester up to a temperature where methanogenic activity could occur. This will likely be lower in the next startup in summer 2002. They have seen an increase in their electric bill of about $240 per month to run the pumps and agitators for the digester.

Revenues and Other Benefits. The digester system has greatly reduced odor from the storage tank and since they inject the manure, the odor for neighbors is also reduced. They took odor measurements using a dilution test and found a 78.5 percent reduction in odor from raw manure to digestate. The owner also expects to produce propylene glycol and offset some water heating costs. Dollar amounts of revenues or savings are not available.

Lessons and Comments. The owner said that if they do another digester like this, they need to make it more self-sufficient. The system, as set up now, requires too much time and effort to operate. He also felt that simplifying the system would make it easier to work with. The owner and designer/researcher both stressed the importance of hiring contractors who have experience in this area.

Information Source. Steve Crawford, Crawford Farms
Shih Wu Sung, Iowa State University
Double S Dairy

combined phase, mesophilic, plug-flow loop gas mixing, fixed cover

Double S Dairy is a dairy operation in Markesan, Wisconsin. As of May 2002, they were milking approximately 700 cows, and had about 730 total feeding the digester. They are planning to expand to about 1,000 cows and have chosen a digester size based on that number. They had an existing dairy, and have recently expanded adding a second larger barn. They use flush collection and recycle the water before the digester. They had been using sand bedding, but when they decided to add a digester, they switched to three quarters digested solids with one quarter sawdust to make it drier. They expect to load nearly 30 gpd manure per cow for a current load of about 21,000 gpd (and up to 30,000 gpd when at 1,000 cows). They use a screw press for solids separation post digestion. The solids percentage in the influent was not known.

Digester. GHD, Inc. designed a heated, mixed, plug-flow loop digester for the farm. The digester has a fixed cover, and combines the digestion phases within the loop including settling. The figure below shows a general design for a plug-flow loop digester.

The system is designed for a HRT of about 20 days. This system returns activated sludge (RAS) into the digester, recycling some bacteria. The solids retention time (SRT) is estimated to be 25-30 days. It also feeds biogas back into the plug at the bottom to provide some non-mechanical mixing as the gas rises through the plug. The target operating temperature is 100°F.

The total digester volume is about 600,000 gallons. Its dimensions are approximately 60 feet by 107 feet by 14 feet.
Outputs and Uses.
Predicted biogas outputs were not available. The biogas will fuel a Hess 200kW induction engine generator set (pictured at right). Alliant Energy owns the generation equipment. They predict that the system will produce between 1.2 and 1.6 million kWh of electricity per year. They will also use recovered heat for the digester, water heating, absorption refrigeration system, holding area and the freestall barn. They hope to use digested solids for bedding, but have not yet been able to do so.

Maintenance Needs. The maintenance needs of this system were not yet known.

Project History. The digester was built at the same time as the dairy addition: between October 2001 and February 2002. They started feeding the digester manure in March 2002 and were able to start running the engine on biogas in May 2002. As of May 2002 they were still in startup mode (i.e., biogas production had not yet stabilized).

They have had problems on a number of fronts and feel disorganization and lack of communication among the parties involved was significant. First, they completed the digester but the cover had not been ordered yet, causing a delay in the startup. They switched from sand bedding when the digester was installed, and had been counting on use of solids to help defray digester costs. Because of the delay in startup, they had to buy bedding until solids were produced, incurring higher than expected costs for bedding. The first batches of solids used had high bacteria counts and caused mastitis resulting in the loss of some cows. As of June 2002, they were still not getting the predicted amounts of solids. They have been paying for propane also to heat the digester for some time. In addition, they experienced some engine/generator failures each requiring a replacement part and a wait for the order. Biogas production has risen recently now that they are approaching their target operating temperature. As of June 2002, they were running the generator at a steady 160 kW, at an operating temperature of about 90° F and still climbing.

As of June 2002, they did not yet have a utility contract but expected to agree to terms with Alliant Energy in which they sell the biogas to the utility based on measurements from gas meters on both the engine and the flare.
System Costs. The costs associated with the digester are estimated to approach $500,000 (they have not all been incurred or tallied yet). The project was originally budgeted to be about $391,000. Some of the unexpected costs include bedding, propane and animal loss due to mastitis. Costs associated with the energy generation portions of the system, which are owned by the utility, were not available. Many of their costs such as delays in their operations and increased management attention needed are not easily quantifiable. Routine operation and maintenance costs were not yet available.

Revenues and Other Benefits. Revenues and other benefits were not yet known. They predict that at 1,000 cows they will be able to produce between 1.2 and 1.6 million kWh per year. They hope to save on bedding costs by using digested solids, but are not yet sure what that amount will be.

Lessons and Comments. The owners are not sure at this point whether they would recommend this type of system to colleagues. They still have not seen it fully operational, and the delays have caused them much inconvenience and expense.

Information Sources. Dan Smits, Double S Dairy
Steve Dvorak, GHD, Inc.
Duane Hanusa, Alliant Energy
Futura Dairy is a dairy operation in Central City, Iowa. They had 420 cows (their current capacity) as of June, 2002, with plans to possibly expand to 1,100 at some time in the future. They use a scrape method of manure collection. They use rice hulls and composted digested solids for bedding. They were feeding approximately 8,000 gallons of manure per day into the digester (4,000 twice per day). They use a Vincent screw press separator to separate out solids post digestion. The owners were uncertain what the percent solids was in the influent.

Digester. Designed by GHD, Inc., the Futura Farm digester is a mixed, heated, plug-flow loop design in which the biogas is fed back into the digester at the bottom to provide some non-mechanical mixing (see the schematic in the Double S Dairy profile). The digester has a fixed cover and RAS. Its approximate dimensions are 56’W x 60’L x 14’D. It combines three phases within the loop, acidogenic, methanogenic and settling. Capacity is about 30 days of production, and digester volume is about 150,000 gallons. The design HRT is 20 days, but it is currently about 25-30 days because of the smaller animal population. The target operating temperature is 100°F.

Outputs and Uses. The amount of biogas being produced as of June 2002 was not known because no gas meter was installed. The intended use for the biogas is to fire a Hess 140 kW synchronous engine generator set (operates independently of the electric utility system). They also plan to capture an unknown amount of waste heat and use it to heat the digester and water.

The owners intended to use digested solids for bedding, but as of June 2002 were composting digested solids and using some for bedding and selling some as compost for landscaping.

Maintenance Needs. No maintenance history is yet available.

Project History. The digester was built while the new dairy was being built from April 2001 to September 2001. They started adding manure in September 2001 but have been operating with a smaller animal population than anticipated. They have had some problems. A leaking tank needed re-sealing and they then found other leaks as well. Their separator has needed several screens replaced. The biosolids they are producing have had high moisture and bacteria counts and some cows got sick. They had to add composting and use a mix of rice hulls and composted digested solids for bedding which was not a planned expense. They have had problems getting the biogas recirculation system (for mixing of the plug) to work.

They are not connected to a utility and have had numerous problems with the generator. The generator problems were related to fluctuating load (the first time they tried it the engine ran out of biogas in 20 minutes – since then they have had it run up to about 35 minutes before running out of gas). They have not yet been able to run the engine consistently because they are not
producing enough biogas. They ordered a special carburetor to allow them to burn a mixture of biogas and LP gas, but as of June 2002, it was still not functional. They think they would probably work with a utility next time to simplify some things.

As of June 2002, the system had not yet stabilized and they were problem solving.

**System Costs.** The total costs to get the system operational are not certain (it is still in startup mode). Estimated cost of the digester and supporting equipment is about $132,000 (the same company that built the dairy built the digester). The energy generation equipment (including the synchronous generator and a backup generator) cost about $163,000. They experienced unexpected costs which included having to buy a year's worth of propane at a cost of about $40,000, and bedding costs are about $8,000 per month. They also had to replace all the pumps and several torn separator screens, as well as add composting.

**Revenues and Other Benefits.** Revenues and other benefits were not yet available.

**Lessons and Comments.** The owners feel the concept of anaerobic digestion is "great" but they have not yet seen the benefits. They think there is a lot of new technology involved and are not sure whether they are doing something wrong or what is causing their problems. They feel they were not given enough information on what was needed to properly run the digester, and feel ill equipped to address the problems they are having. They have continued to put money and effort into the system but have yet to see any return.

They would probably choose to work with a utility and use an induction generator if they were to do it again. They have found that their energy demands for the farm are higher than they anticipated and they overestimated the efficiency of the generator.

**Information Sources.** Steve Covington, Futura Dairy  
Mike Gregorika, Futura Dairy  
Steve Dvorak, GHD, Inc.
Northeast Iowa Community College Dairy Farm
combined phase, mesophilic, plug-flow, fixed cover

The Northeast Iowa Community College Dairy Farm is an applied research facility located in Calmar, Iowa. The dairy farm has a total population of 170 cows with about 155 milking. However, only about 100-120 cows feed the digester (50-60 cows are housed at different location). They are currently producing an estimated 40 gallons per cow per day in influent. They are considering diverting the parlor wash water to drop this amount to about 26 gallons per cow per day. These two options amount to about 4,400 gpd or 2,860 gpd fed to the digester under each practice. They are using wood shavings for bedding and the overall solids content of the influent is unknown. They installed a Vincent screw press solids separator before the digester. Separated solids are sent to a separate storage building where they are composted with an under-floor forced air assist system.

**Digester.** The digester, designed by Dan Meyer of the Iowa State University Agricultural Extension, is a poured concrete, heated plug-flow type with a fixed cover. The figure on the right is a rough diagram and flow chart of the digester and other structures. The digester target temperature is 98°, and should have a 13-14 day HRT if parlor wash water is included. The digester has the capability of using RAS but they don't know yet if they will use it in that way.

The overall volume of the digester is 60,000 gallons. The approximate size of the digester is 13’W x 68’L x 10’D.

**Biogas Use and Other Outputs.** During the first startup they were briefly producing 42 ft³ per cow per day of biogas, but expect that to be lower because of the solids removal before the digester. The biogas produced will fire a 150,000 Btu/hr rated boiler, with expected output of about 120,000 Btu/hr with biogas. They have two exhaust chimneys. The heat from the boiler

---

3 This figure is adapted from information provided by Dan Meyer, ISU Agricultural Extension. It is not drawn to scale.
will be used for the digester first, then dairy center, floor heat and hot water. They need 6,200 ft$^2$ of floor heat. Solids are field applied unless composting is used.

**Maintenance Needs.** They had to clean out the digester once because of a large mat of solids at the top. Routine maintenance information was not yet available.

**Project History.** It was built over the period from August 2000 through October 2000, and a boiler was added in March 2001. The first startup period was April 2001 and a second startup was in May 2002. As of June 2002, the system was still in startup mode.

When they first started feeding the digester they had the solids separation after the digester. They soon developed problems with the solids floating to the top in the digester to form a crust. The plug then did not flow properly and they needed to clean it out. They then switched the separator to before the digester and started composting the solids in a separate building with underfloor forced air assist. They also had a problem with freezing in the initial plumbing setup, which was not buried deep enough, and had some 90° corners that impeded flow. They now think the pipes should be greater than 1 foot below the ground if buried. The plumbing was moved to be above ground and is gravity flow. The solids separator screen broke after three days of use. Then they had plug blowouts due to solids problems. As of June 2002 they were filling the tank again but the solids separator was still not working.

**System Costs.** The cost for the digester and system at last estimate was in the range of $200,000 to $225,000.

**Revenues and Other Benefits.** Values of revenues and other benefits were not yet known.

**Lessons and Comments.** The owners are hoping the technology works. They would like to get manure solids to compost so they can use them for bedding. The designer says farmers installing digesters should expect some downtime.

**Information Sources.** Mike Koester, NEICC
Dan Meyer, Iowa State University, Fayette County Extension
Stencil Farm

combined phase, mesophilic, plug-flow, flexible cover

Stencil Farm is a dairy operation in Denmark, Wisconsin. As of May 2002, they had around 850 head, but had a digester built for a planned expansion to 1,200 head. They have several barns and collect manure primarily through scraping with a skid steer. They are using recycled solids for bedding. They decided to install a digester to eliminate odor and provide better fertilizer. The amount of daily manure loaded into the digester was not available. They are using solids separation after digestion with a Fan brand screw press separator.

Digester. They installed a heated plug-flow digester with a flexible cover designed by RCM Digesters, Inc. With a target operating temperature of about 100°F, the digester (pictured at right) has a storage capacity of about 20 days for 1,200 cows, and is currently running about 22-23 days HRT. They are shooting for a solids percentage of between 9-12 percent for the inputs, and are currently around 9-10 percent. The volume of the digester was unavailable. The dimensions of the digester are approximately 40'W x 110'L x 16'D.

Biogas Use and Other Outputs. The digester is predicted to produce about 72,000 cfd of biogas at about a 60 percent methane content. The produced biogas is fueling a 140kW Caterpillar induction engine generator system (pictured at left). They have a contract with Wisconsin Public Service Corporation (WPS) to sell the electricity at an undisclosed tariffed rate. They do net metering, and produced electricity is used on farm with the remainder sold to WPS. Amounts of electricity production and savings thus far were not available. It is not
known whether the owner was charged for any system upgrades. Recovered heat is used to heat the digester, the milking parlor, shop and office.

They expect to continue using digested solids for bedding. Digestate is land applied.

**Maintenance Needs.** Information on maintenance needs was not available.

**Project History.** The digester was built between June 2001 and January 2002 with the owner acting as the general contractor. As of May 2002 biogas output had not yet stabilized, and was therefore in startup mode. Further details on project history were not available.

**System Costs.** The total cost of the system is estimated to be between $400,000 and $500,000.

**Revenues and Other Benefits.** They have experienced a significant odor reduction. They expect cost savings from use of biosolids for bedding and sales of electricity. Other information on expected revenues and benefits was not available.

**Lessons and Comments.** Lessons and comments were not available.

**Information Sources.** Mark Moser, RCM Digesters, Inc.
Dick Hauser, Neptune Enterprises
John Christiano, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation

---

4 The author received late information that biogas production stabilized in June 2002.
Tinedale Farms

two phase, mesophilic/thermophilic, TPAD (mixed), cover unknown

Tinedale Farms is a dairy operation in Kaukauna, Wisconsin. They have around 2,400 cows, consisting of 1,500 milking, 200 dry and 700 steers. They use scrape collection for manure into a central pit, and from there it gravity flows to the digester. As of May 2002, they were producing around 45,000 gpd of manure that was fed to a digester designed to handle as much as 60,000 gpd. They plan to use solids separation with a non-agricultural separator after digestion, and use biosolids for bedding. They also hope to get the solids rated "class A" so they can be used for more things.

Digester. Ag Environmental Solutions designed and built a temperature phased anaerobic digester (TPAD) for Tinedale Farms. The TPAD was retrofitted into a plug flow digester but is described as a kind of complete mix digester. The digester is designed to include a thermophilic phase that heats the manure to approximately 130° F. Then it is cooled through a heat exchanger down to 95-100° F for the mesophilic stage. The thermophilic tank can hold about 300,000 gallons and the mesophilic phases can hold about 600,000 gallons. The digester is fed at a fairly constant rate since cows are milked on the farm 23 hours per day. The target solids content for the digester is 9-10 percent, but they have recently averaged about 8.5 percent. The digester is horseshoe-shaped, and its dimensions are 70'W x 135'L x 16'D. The HRT for the digester is 15 days, but they are currently working at about 20 days due to the smaller loading.

Running as mesophilic only, the digester was producing biogas at a rate of 100-115 cfm, and they expect it to produce around 200 cfm or about 290,000 cfd when running TPAD.

Outputs and Uses. They will use the biogas to fire two 375kW Waukesha low NOx engine generator sets. The owners expect to sell all the electricity to the utility WE Energies, and use process heat to heat the digester. They may have excess heat but have not yet planned to use it. Details about their contract with the utility were not available. They will use a non-agricultural screw press solids separator to remove digested solids which will then be used for bedding and possibly sold for other uses depending on whether they get a class A biosolids rating. The digestate will be land spread on about 4,500 acres.

---

Maintenance Needs. Maintenance information for the system was not available.

Project History. The digester was built over two construction periods and there was some idle time while changes were made. Its first startup period was June 2001 through September 2001. This went smoothly while they were doing only mesophilic, but upon switching to TPAD (i.e., adding the thermophilic stage) they recognized an equipment problem: their heat exchanger, which was needed to cool down the manure from the thermophilic stage prior to it entering the mesophilic tank, was undersized. Therefore, the manure entering the mesophilic tank was too hot for the microbes. They attempted running as TPAD from October 2001 through December 2001, then had to revert to mesophilic only. As of June 2002 they were operating steadily using mesophilic only, but expected to start thermophilic again with a new larger heat exchanger in July 2002.

System Costs. System cost information was not available.

Revenues and Other Benefits. The owners expect to produce enough electricity "to power 250 homes" when running the TPAD. More precise estimates of expected system output were not available. The system is fully computerized and can be monitored and controlled remotely. They also expect to save costs on bedding by using biosolids and expect revenues from sales of biosolids.

Lessons and Comments. Lessons or comments for this system were not available.

Information Sources. John Katers, University of Wisconsin – Green Bay
Top Deck Holsteins
combined phase, mesophilic, plug-flow, fixed cover
Top Deck Holsteins is a dairy operation in Westgate, Iowa. The farm has a herd of 700 cows that produce approximately 20,000 gpd of manure or about 28 gallons per cow. Manure is collected mostly with automatic scrapers but they are also flushing one barn. The target solids content is eight percent, but they have a range of about seven to 13 percent solids. They use rice hulls and mattresses for bedding. Use of the mattresses keeps need for other bedding to a minimum. They plan to eventually use digested solids instead of rice hulls. They currently (May 2002) have no solids separator, but plan to add one post-digestion, and also hope to add underfloor forced air assist for drying of solids.

Digester. They installed a heated plug-flow digester, with a preheat tank (that does 78 percent of the heating), designed by Dan Meyer of ISU Agricultural Extension and Ray Crammond of AGRIN, LLC (see illustration below). The digester has a fixed lid and a target operating temperature of 98° F. They have installed the capability for RAS but have not yet used it. They plan to use a blower to push the biogas to the engine generators and keep up the necessary pressure. The digester can hold a maximum of 14 days of manure production or about 300,000 gallons. The design HRT of 14 days is shorter than the usual 20 days due to the pre-heating tank.

The digester dimensions are approximately 27’W x 124’L x 12’D.

Outputs and Uses. They expect biogas output of about 52,000 cfd with about a 63 percent methane content. The produced biogas will fire two systems: a 100kW Waukesha induction engine generator set, and a 30kW Capstone microturbine. The engine was attached first because the gas delivery system for the microturbine arrived later. They plan to produce electricity and capture waste heat. They have a contract with Alliant Energy in which the utility agrees to pay them an undisclosed amount per month using a scaled rate structure, but not net metering. Their predicted electrical production is about 832,000 kWh/year. The heat will be used to heat the digester, milking parlors, hot water for pipeline washing, parlor cleaning and floor heat in the alley building.
They expect to eventually rely solely on digested solids for bedding. Digestate will be land-applied using injection.

**Maintenance Needs.** They expect to change the engine oil every 1,000 hours of operation. The other maintenance needs of this system were not available.

**Project History.** The anaerobic digester was built as part of an expansion for the dairy from October 2000 through March 2002. The digester was initially started at the end of January 2002. As of May 2002 it was going through a new startup.

During the first startup (January 2002) they ran it for 3 weeks but had foaming and manure solids coming up the pipe. They put the engine generator building 40' away from the digester for safety, but now need to add a blower to move the biogas to the engine. They installed some 10" PVC pipes at a 45° angle for emergency access to the digester. They also had to wait for some parts to arrive for the turbine. Detailed history from the owner was not available.

**System Costs.** The digester and associated equipment costs are estimated to be about $140,000, and the estimated cost for the energy generation equipment and structures is about $250,000. The total cost to make the system operational was around $390,000. Adding a system for separating and composting solids is predicted to cost approximately $80,000.

**Revenues and Other Benefits.** Predicted revenues from electricity sales are not available. They are currently paying about $30,000 per year in bedding costs, which they expect to avoid when digested solids are used.

**Lessons and Comments.** No lessons or comments from the owner were available.

**Information Sources.** Dan Meyer, Iowa State University, Fayette County Extension
Duane Hanusa, Alliant Energy, Inc.
Wholesome Dairy
combined phase, mesophilic, plug-flow, gas mixing, fixed cover
Wholesome Dairy is a dairy operation in Hilbert, Wisconsin. They have 3,000 cows that produce between 60,000 and 66,000 gallons (20-22 gallons per cow) of manure per day. As of early June 2002, they were using rice hulls and recycled solids for bedding, but planned to switch to all recycled solids in mid-June. They have installed an auger-type squeeze separator for post digestion solids separation. The percent solids in the influent was not known. The dairy uses scrape collection with a skid steer to a central pit, where it gravity flows into the digesters.

Digester. Wholesome Dairy installed two mixed, heated plug-flow digesters that share a common effluent exit point. The designer was GHD, Inc. The digesters are both straight, and are placed end-to-end. The digesters have gas-induced mixing and RAS. The digesters have a capacity of about 25 days, and were operating at about 25 days HRT in June 2002. Each digester is approximately 288'L x 36'W x 15.5'D. The target operating temperature is 100°F.

Outputs and Uses. Volume of biogas output was not available. However, the system was running at about 500kW plus flaring excess gas in June 2002. The methane content was between 52 and 56 percent. The biogas fuels a 508 kW capacity Deutz synchronous (i.e., can operate independent of utility phase) engine generator set. They expect to sell all electricity and use the waste heat for the digester, dairy, and manure solids drying. They were using some digested solids for bedding. Digested effluent is land spread, injected and irrigated.

Maintenance Needs. Routine maintenance needs were not yet known.

Project History. The digester was built at the same time as the dairy over a 9-10 month period starting in spring 2001. They started feeding and heating the digester at the end of April 2002. As of June 2002, it was still in startup mode. They had numerous costly delays mostly related to generator commissioning.

System Costs. They experienced many costs associated with delayed startup. Specific costs associated with this system were not available. The owner estimates the total cost to be approximately $1.3 million. The owner also paid a portion of utility rewiring costs.

Revenues and Other Benefits. All electricity produced is sold to WPS at an undisclosed rate plus an adder for market value of the renewable energy credits. They do not yet have estimates of savings from bedding replacement and waste heat use.

Lessons and Comments. They have felt the project was a serious financial and management drain, and would not go through it again. As of June 2002, the owners had yet to see a significant period of full operation, and therefore had seen insufficient benefits to justify the costs they incurred.
Information Sources.  Kenn Buelow, Wholesome Dairy  
Steve Dvorak, GHD, Inc. 
John Christiano, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation
Apex Pork is a swine finishing facility in Rio, Illinois. The herd size is typically around 8,300 head. The daily volume of manure produced is unknown. The facility has recently changed to an all-in all-out management strategy resulting in variable amount of manure produced that can be collected. They use a pull plug collection method (when all-in). The percent solids of the manure was not known. The farm applies about 4 million gallons of manure per year to their fields.

Digester. RCM Digesters, Inc., designed a heated, covered, mixed earthen lagoon as an odor control system for Apex (pictured below). This complete mix digester has a bank-buried, insulated floating cover to keep out rain and oxygen, and collect biogas. The digester has a volume of approximately 800,000 gallons, and can hold between 18-20 days worth of manure production. The digester's dimensions are 120' x 160' x 16'D. The digester is heated using a heat rack made out of 3” black steel pipe.

Outputs and Uses. Recent biogas production levels are unknown because the gas meter broke and was not yet replaced. However, in mid-summer 1998, the digester was producing 36,000 cfd (prior to all-in all-out management). The biogas is used to run a Burnham boiler (pictured below) that serves the sole purpose of heating the digester. Excess biogas is flared. Digestate is land spread on croplands with a corn and soybean rotation.

Maintenance Needs. The system requires about 10 minutes per day of maintenance at an annual cost of less than $1,000. They must make sure the boiler is burning at a high enough temperature to avoid condensation that causes corrosion.

Project History. The digester went through two startups, both of which took about 30 days of using propane heat before the boiler could run on biogas. The first startup was in May 1998. The second startup followed storm damage to the cover in summer 1998. They changed covers (i.e., put in a bank-buried, insulated floating cover), and switched to all-in all-out management after that. The second startup began in December 1998.
Since then, the digester has been subjected to fluctuating loads due to the management style. They let it go dormant during slow periods, they then start it back up as needed. They have been able to restart the digester each time without incident.

Early on, they were having problems with condensation in the boiler because they were running it at too low a temperature. They have since changed the design a little and put in a secondary loop and an additional circulating pump. If the boiler operates below 140°F, it will stop sending hot water to the digester for a while until the temperature reaches 140°F again. As of June 2002, the system was operating normally given the inputs.

System Costs. The digester itself cost about $66,700, and the boiler and gas handling equipment cost about $85,600, for a total cost (including changes) of about $152,300.

Revenues and Other Benefits. The digester was installed as an odor control measure. The owners had received some complaints from neighbors, which have stopped since installation. The US EPA approved of the digester. The owners also feel their stress levels are lower and their neighbor relations have improved.

Lessons and Comments. The owners have had odor complaints and the EPA became involved. They took this aggressive step to take care of the odor problems. Both the EPA and their neighbors liked the concept. Installation stopped the complaints. While the costs may seem high for purely an odor control measure, the owners feel it was money well spent.

Information Sources. Glenn Saline, Apex Pork
Mark Moser, RCM Digesters, Inc.
Baldwin and Emerald Dairies
combined phase, psychrophilic, covered lagoon, bank-buried poly cover

The Baldwin and Emerald dairies, located in north-western Wisconsin are profiled together because they are owned by the same people and are very similar. The owner decided to install covered lagoons to control odors from the dairies' manure storage facilities. The herd sizes for Baldwin and Emerald dairies are 1,100 and 1,600, respectively. They estimate about 25 gallons of manure per cow per day (including parlor wash water) is generated and scraped raw to the lagoons. Emerald uses wood shavings for bedding, and Baldwin uses sand (they have a sand separator that takes about 98 percent out).

They estimate that the inputs to Emerald lagoon have about six percent solids. The solids content of inputs to the Baldwin lagoon are unknown. They currently do not employ solids separation, but may explore that option to reduce volume.

Digester. The owner chose to install two covered lagoons, designed by Tiry Engineering, to control odor from stored manure and keep precipitation out. The digester also generates biogas, with seasonal variations in gas content, and reduces volatile solids. The Baldwin lagoon, constructed in 1998, is clay-lined. The Emerald lagoon, constructed in 1999, is poly-lined. The Emerald lagoon is 208’ x 900’, and the Baldwin dimensions were unavailable. The above picture shows the Emerald lagoon under construction. The picture on the left shows the Emerald cover and gas collection pipe. The
lagoons are not currently heated or mixed. The Baldwin lagoon can hold about 7 months of manure production and the Emerald can hold about 12 months of production. Manure is stored and field applied in the spring and fall each year.

**Biogas Use and Other Outputs.** They are currently flaring biogas from these lagoons, which is produced year round. The methane content of the gas ranges from 60 percent in the summer to 40 percent in the winter. They have recently started metering it. They are exploring options for using the biogas and may try heating portions of the lagoons to increase biogas production. They are also checking into options for water clarification. The lagoon manure is delivered to the fields for application using a drag hose system instead of tanker trucks. The manure is then injected into the soil reducing odor and risk of runoff. The picture below shows the cover bubbling up with biogas produced during the winter.

![Photo: Baldwin and Emerald Dairies](image)

**Maintenance Needs.** Very little maintenance is required.

**Project History.** The Baldwin lagoon cover was installed in 1998 and the Emerald was installed in 1999. They have had no serious problems with these lagoons.

**System Costs.** The Baldwin lagoon required a $70,000 investment for the cover and gas collection equipment. The cost for the Emerald lagoon cover and equipment was $125,000. The costs for the constructing the lagoons themselves are unknown.

**Revenues and Other Benefits.** They have reduced the volume of manure to be treated and field applied by excluding precipitation. They are exploring options for further reducing the volume. One possibility is to install solids separation before the lagoon. The main benefit has been a greatly reduced odor for everyone in the neighborhood. They are also getting some volatile solids (VS) reduction through digestion. This means they do not need to agitate the manure in the lagoon prior to pumping it out to the fields, and the manure pumps easier.

**Lessons and Comments.** The owners feel these covered lagoons are efficient, low cost, low tech solutions to odor problems. They suggest, however, that there may be better long-term solutions.

**Information Sources.** John Vrieze, Baldwin and Emerald Dairies
Bell Farms
combined phase, mesophilic, complete mix, flexible top
Bell Farms (formerly Swine USA) is a swine farrow and gestation facility in Thayer, Iowa. Their typical herd size is 5,000 sows. They produce about 21,000 gpd of manure, of which 16,500 is fed to a digester. The solids content of the manure is variable, but was recently measured at about 0.4%. Manure is collected using a pull plug system.

Digester. Swine USA was an AgSTAR charter farm. RCM Digesters, Inc. was paid with AgSTAR funds to design a heated complete mix digester, pictured at right. Bell Farms has since purchased the farm and digester. The digester has two agitators and is mixed twice daily. It has a flexible, inflatable vinyl cover. The overall capacity of the digester is about 700,000 gallons, and the average HRT is about 20 days. The dimensions of the digester are 70' x 90' x 16'. The target operating temperature is 100°F.

The figure below shows the general layout of the farm buildings and digester (not drawn to scale).

Biogas Use and Other Outputs. The digester was producing approximately 30,000 cfd at a methane content of about 65 percent before a recent decline in production after a change in ownership and operations. The biogas fuels an 80 kW (rated 75 kW for biogas) caterpillar 3306 engine generator set. They have averaged about 1,090 kWh per day (although lower recently). Their current status regarding utility contract and electricity use or sale is not available. In 2000, they reported annual electricity savings of between $35,000 and $46,000.

Waste heat is recovered and is used to heat the digester. Any excess biogas is flared. They have been replacing some commercial fertilizer on neighboring croplands with digestate.
Maintenance Needs. The system requires 2 hours per day for operating the manure collection and digester and engine generator set. They have to manually start the pumps, and monitor temperatures. They change the engine oil every 500 hours.

Project History. The digester was installed, mainly for odor control, as part of the new farm construction starting in 1998. They started filling and heating the digester over a 90-day period from June 1999 through August 1999. The startup was longer than usual because they were still populating the farm with pigs at that time. As of September 1999, they felt they were achieving full biogas yield. They had some problems working out a utility contract and dealing with inconsistencies in intertie requirements.

They had an early engine failure (replaced under warranty at no cost to the owner) and a blown fuse, both resulting in some down time. Given these outages, they estimate that the digester and generation equipment have been operational about 90 percent of the time since installation.

Bell Farms bought the operation in 2001, and is working on management issues that will improve the operation of the digester. Since Bell Farms ownership, the biogas production of the digester has dropped. They are working to increase the solids content through improved water management in the barns, which they feel is the biggest issue with the production decline.

System Costs. While itemized cost information was not available, the overall cost of the project is estimated at $576,000 based on 50% matching grant formula. EPA's AgSTAR program provided technical assistance and in-kind support. Swine USA also received financial support from Iowa Department of Natural Resources, Iowa Department of Economic Development, and USDA--Natural Resources Conservation Service for approximately half the total cost. Some system costs may be higher due to requirements for study or monitoring of the digester tied to funding sources.

Revenues and Other Benefits. The system has provided electricity-offset savings between $35,000 and $46,000 per year. The owners appreciate how effectively the digester controls odors and captures methane. They hope to bring in some revenue by using digestate to replace $20 to $50 of commercial fertilizer per acre on neighboring croplands.

Lessons and Comments. The owners recognize their need to control the variability and reduce water content of the digester inputs. They are actively exploring management options to better use their digester.

They suggest that it is best to work with a service dealer (for the energy generation equipment) who is close, or have someone trained to service it nearby because it can require "intense management" at times. They commented that the digester system they have helps add value to an agricultural byproduct.

Information Sources. Mike Erwin, Bell Farms
                       Mark Moser, RCM Digesters, Inc.
                       Jeff Lorimor, Iowa State University
Fairgrove Farms

combined phase, mesophilic, plug-flow, fixed top

Fairgrove Farms is a dairy farm in Sturgis, Michigan. Their herd size is approximately 500 cows, and produces between 8,000 and 9,000 gpd of manure. The owners, John and David Pueschel, have recently sold the herd to another family who are now running the milking operation and leasing the facilities. The Pueschels, however, remain owners of the farm and manage the digester. The solids content of the manure fed to the digester is 13 percent. They use solids separation after digestion with a Vincent screw press separator and they use recycled solids for bedding. Manure is collected using a scraper system.

Digester. Fairgrove Farms hired Perennial Energy to design a buried, heated plug-flow digester (see schematic below). This digester is now one of the oldest functioning anaerobic digesters for animal manure in the United States. The digester dimensions are 120' x 24' x 8' at the edges to 12' in the center (floor is V-shaped). The digester volume is 180,000 gallons or about 18 days of manure production. The HRT has historically been about 18 days on average, but more recently has risen to 20-21 days. The target operating temperature is 95°F. The picture at right shows an aboveground view of the digester.

Biogas Use and Other Outputs. The digester has historically produced about 40 ft³/minute of biogas, but has recently been producing about 30 ft³/minute. The biogas, which has a
methane content of 60 percent, is used to fuel a Caterpillar 3306 85kW engine generator system. The system can generate 70kW using biogas, but requires 40 ft³/minute of biogas to do so. They have figured out that by adding a little LP gas, they can run the engine wide open, and they actually earn about $3 in electricity for every $1 of LP gas they burn with the biogas. (If they were to run it with 30 ft³/minute of biogas, they would have to keep it in fast idle and generate 50-55kW.) They estimate that the digester has earned $130 per day for the last 20 years.

They think the recent drop in biogas production may be due to a change in feed. The cows are being fed more corn and less hay. Some researchers from Purdue University are studying it and they suspect that the system may require more carbon.

They use recovered heat from the engine to heat the digester. They use recycled solids for bedding (replacing the sand bedding they had used before they installed the digester). The photo above shows the recycled solids, and the photo below shows the solids separator.

**Maintenance Needs.** The system needs to be manually pumped twice a day which takes about 15-20 minutes for a total estimated cost of about $1,200 per year (at $10/hour pay rate). They have had two engines in 20 years (they bought a second one after 10 years so they could switch them out for repairs). They still have the first one. They need to replace the pumps every three years and clean out the digester every 5 years due to sand buildup. They have had to replace the heads on the engines. The engine oil is changed every 1,100 hours of operation. They have been fortunate to have a good mechanic on hand to handle minor problems.
The engines can be run for about 2.5 years (20,000-22,000 hours) but then need an overhaul. They observed that the engines should avoid brass and bronze because they wear out in 1-1.5 years (these are usually used in gauges).

**Project History.** The digester was installed in 1981 over a period of about 3 months. It required about a one month period for production to stabilize, and requires a similar startup period after each clean-out. In order for the digester to work properly, the owners switched from sand to digested solids for bedding at the start. The owners maintain that it's the digested solids that make the thing work. They need to replace the screens in their solids separator (pictured on the previous page) four times per year, and have modified the separator every year.

The digester has been working non-stop, except for periodic clean-outs, since installation, which amounts to a 98 percent up time. They seeded it originally with municipal treatment plant digestate. During some cold periods they have had to use some LP gas to run the engine and heat the digester, and with the current drop in biogas production are now adding LP gas regularly (see "Biogas Use and Other Outputs" for more information).

Except for the recent drop in production following a change in feed, biogas production has been fairly steady throughout the digester's life.

**System Costs.** The digester cost about $150,000 and the energy generation system cost about $50,000 in 1981. This amounts to approximately $380,000 in 2000 dollars.

**Revenues and Other Benefits.** The digester saved Fairgrove Farms electricity costs of an estimated $130 per day for 20 years. This amounts to over $900,000 in electricity savings over 20 years or about $46,000 per year. An estimate of bedding cost savings from using biosolids was not available.

**Lessons and Comments.** They discovered that if they run their engine hotter than usual, then the hydrogen sulfide (which causes corrosion of engine parts) was not a big factor.

If they had it to do over again they would put in a separate heated settling chamber where the manure has to go over a wall to remove sand before the digester.

**Information Sources.** David Pueschel, Fairgrove Farms
John Pueschel, Fairgrove Farms
Ted Landers, Perennial Energy
Ray Crammond, AGRIN, LLC
Gordondale Farms

combined phase, mesophilic, plug-flow loop, gas mixing

Gordondale Farms is a dairy operation in Nelsonville, Wisconsin. They have a herd of 600 cows that each produce an estimated 30 gallons of manure per day (including parlor wash water) for a total of about 18,000 gallons per day. They use a scrape collection method, and solids separation after digestion with a screw-press type separator. They had a one-time tested solids content of eight percent with a target between 9-10 percent. They use recycled solids for bedding. The manure reception pit is heated and the manure is recirculated to the flume to keep it from freezing in the winter.

Digester. Gordondale Farms installed a mixed heated plug-flow loop digester designed by GHD, Inc. A picture of the model is included above, and an above ground view of the digester is pictured at right. The owners saw an opportunity with the dairy expansion to incorporate the digester, along with water saving measures and manure transport methods, into the new facility. They chose the loop design because the digester can theoretically be expanded (to accommodate an expansion of the farm) by moving the looped end out farther from the end with all the equipment, the entrance and exit. The digester is mixed through re-introduction of biogas along the bottom of the digester. The upward rising bubbles provide non-mechanical mixing. The cover for the digester is fixed, and they also use RAS. The digester has an HRT of 20 days and an SRT of 25-30 days. The target
operating temperature is 100°F. The digester size is 65'W x 108'L x 14'D, and its volume is 650,000 gallons.

Biogas Use and Other Outputs. The biogas fuels a Caterpillar 3406 engine generator set (pictured at right). The set is sold as 150 kW but is rated about 135 kW for biogas. It is an induction generator (phase, frequency and voltage set by the utility). Alliant Energy owns the generation equipment and buys gas from the dairy. The waste heat will be used to heat the digester, dairy parlor, holding area, offices, engine room and possibly the pad for the milk tankers (in winter). They would like to put in an absorption refrigeration unit as well.

They are shooting for biogas output of 6 cows per kWh, but as of June 2002 were producing about 4.5-5 cows per kWh. Alliant put in a gas meter for the engine and one for the flare and pays Gordondale based on kWh generated.

Maintenance Needs. During the short time since the digester has been operational, maintenance has gone fairly smoothly with no serious problems. Information on routine maintenance required for the digester was not available. Alliant Energy maintains the energy generation equipment.

Project History. The digester was built between October 2000 and November 2001, (the farmer did the concrete pour) at the same time the dairy expanded. They started adding manure in November 2001, and started the generator in January 2002. The system was operational in March 2002. The digester has been producing biogas but the exact amount is unknown because gas meters were not installed until summer. In March and April the generators ran at an estimated average output of 100kW, and in May averaged 108kW, due to some problems with engine overheating that have since been resolved. They expect to be able to operate at around 135kW consistently and flare excess gas.

System Costs. The digester cost between $280,000 and $300,000, and the generation equipment is estimated to cost approximately $242,000 (paid by the Alliant).

Revenues and Other Benefits. Gordondale gets paid an undisclosed rate for the biogas. They also will be able to save on propane costs by using waste heat from the energy generation, and save on bedding costs by using the digested solids for bedding. The owners said they may switch to mattresses rather than solids for bedding due to outside interest in use of solids for other things. If that market is strong enough, they may also get some revenues from sales of solids. Because some phosphorus adheres to the solids, by separating the solids before land application, they reduce phosphorus loading to surface waters and the amount of trucking of wastes they need to do.
Lessons and Comments. The owners stress you need to have the whole farm involved with the project and working toward making the system a success. Those considering installing a digester need to recognize that it is a long-term commitment. They must agree to make the other necessary changes in operations (such as controlling water use, and not using sand bedding) so that the system will work properly. It's also important to make sure you have a good team (farmer, designer, and utility) to make the project a success. It helps to have a good mechanic who can step in and make adjustments when needed.

Information Sources. Kyle Gordon, Gordondale Farms (presentation at Wisconsin Biogas Symposium, April 2002)
Steve Dvorak, GHD, Inc.
Duane Hanusa, Alliant Energy
Haubenschild Farms

*combined phase, mesophilic, plug-flow, flexible top*

Haubenschild Farms is a dairy operation in Princeton, Minnesota. They have a herd of 750-850 milking cows and use a scrape method of collection. They produce between 20,000 and 22,000 gallons of manure per day (or about 28g/cow/day). They use shredded newspaper for bedding (about six tons per week) and have a solids content for influent of about 9 to 9.5 percent. They do not use solids separation. As a water conservation measure, they use all wash water at least twice. They have a goal of being as environmentally friendly as possible, and work on sustainable operations over time. The graphic below illustrates the general layout of the farm.

Digester. They installed a heated plug flow digester using RCM Digesters, Inc. design. The design work was paid for by USEPA AgSTAR contract. The photo below shows the digester with the flexible cover. The digester target operating temperature is 100°F. It has a volume of about 400,000 gallons, and is 150'L x 30'W x 14'D. The design HRT is 20 days, and they were operating at an HRT of about 21 days as of May 2002. The digester does not use RAS.

**Biogas Use and Other Outputs.** As of May 2002 the digester was producing nearly 80,000 cfd of biogas, with a methane content of about 62 percent. The amount of biogas produced is higher than they had predicted for the digester. They think this may be related to the use of shredded newspaper bedding and/or the slightly longer HRT than design. They use 72,000 cfd to fuel a Caterpillar 3406 150 kW induction engine generator set (photo on next page), and the excess is flared. The owner also adds about 1 gallon of propane per day. They also use the recovered heat to heat the digester, milking parlor, alleyway and they store hot water in a 180 gallon tank.
for reserve if the power goes out. Their system is using about 23 ft$^3$ of biogas per kWh of electricity generated. The digestate is land applied.

Maintenance Needs. They change the spark plugs once per year, and change the engine oil after every 1,000 hours of operation. The engine needs to be overhauled periodically (but as of April 2002 had not yet needed one). They perform routine maintenance and checks of the blower, pipes, flare, pumps and do routine operations which take about 45 minutes per day. The system has been operational over 98 percent of the time since installation. Dennis Haubenschild thinks the overall labor needed for manure handling is actually lower now than it was before the digester was put in.

Project History. The Haubenschilds originally looked at putting in a digester in the 1970’s to make manure more plant available. They built the digester from March 1999 through July 1999, as part of an overall expansion of their operation. The owners did a lot of the construction themselves. In July 1999, they started warming the manure, and started producing biogas in September of that year. In May 2002, they had 23,700 hours of operation on their engine. Dennis Haubenschild watches the digester closely to make timely adjustments if it strays from recommended operating parameters. They have had two drops in biogas production – both temperature-related. If the temperature goes below 96°F or above 102°F production drops off. They do not pre-heat the manure going in.

The hydrogen sulfide levels in their biogas have been extremely low (in the parts-per-billion range) meaning they have less wear on the engine. They have also benefited from having a supply of essentially free newspapers (they only pay for the hauling), which they shred themselves to use for bedding.

System Costs. The digester and energy generation equipment were predicted to cost $307,700. The system ended up costing about $355,000. They built in extra wiring and plumbing for contingencies and experiments, which drove up the price. The Minnesota Department of Agriculture offered them a $150,000 no-interest loan for the project and that got them started. They became an "AgSTAR Charter Farm" making them eligible for design and operational assistance, which they received at an estimated value of $40,000. The Minnesota Department of Commerce and the Minnesota Office of Environmental Assistance offered them grants totaling $87,500 for construction. Haubenschild Farms paid the remaining $77,500 directly. They estimate maintenance costs of about $3,700 per year.

Revenues and Other Benefits. They originally sought to recoup their costs mainly through sales of electricity. However, the digestate has enhanced value as a fertilizer over raw manure. They
estimate $40,000 savings annually by substituting digestate for commercial fertilizer. Under a five-year contract with East Central Energy Cooperative, Haubenschild Farms sells electricity to the utility at a rate of $0.073/kWh (which changed from $0.0725/kWh in 2001). For the 12-month period from June 2001 through May 2002 they estimate electricity offset savings of $37,000, and revenue from electricity sales of $39,000.

They estimate that use of process heat from the engine is saving them about $4,000 per year in propane costs. They also have some amount of fuel and time savings from not needing to agitate the manure in the storage area prior to application (the digester has allowed them to stop using a mechanical mixer). Also, the lower odor has given them more flexibility with application of digestate to fields. The cost of one odor-related lawsuit would pay for two digesters.

Lessons and Comments. The use of a digester at a dairy has to be part of the overall plan for managing manure. It is extremely important to first look at water conservation and reduce water use to the extent possible.

They treat the digester like another animal on the farm. They feed it two times per day, and make adjustments if it displays symptoms suggesting it's not working right (they monitor CO2 content in biogas about once a month or if there appears to be a problem).

Information Sources. Dennis Haubenschild, Haubenschild Farms
Mark Moser, RCM Digesters, Inc.
Carl Nelson, The Minnesota Project
Dick Hauser, Neptune Enterprises
Ag Innovation News, July 2001 Article
Maple Leaf Farms

combined phase, mesophilic, mechanical mix, fixed top

Maple Leaf Farms is a duck operation in Franksville, Wisconsin. They typically have an animal population of about 500,000 ducks. Some farms use pine shavings for bedding but most barns have wire floors and scrapers collect the manure and wash down water. They then pump the scrapings into a large holding tank (2.5 million gallons) before the digester, where input is equalized. They produce about 50,000 gpd of manure with a solids content of about 1-2.5 percent (they were at 1.5 percent at the time of the survey). They use an internally fed roto-drum screen for solids separation prior to digestion. The solids are then composted, and ultimately land applied.

**Digester.** They installed a mesophilic complete mix digester designed by Applied Technologies, Inc. They have a storage tank before the digester where the grit drops out. Then the effluent goes into the digester (through the side of the mixing chamber) where biosolids are pumped through the heat loop. The digester mixes the manure using low speed mixers. After the digester, the grit or struvite settle out and the effluent is sent to an activated sludge basin where it is aerated, and then on to the final gravity clarifier. The digester has a six-day design HRT, but was operating at about 5 days as of May 2002.

The digester itself is a cylinder 30' high, and 60' in diameter, with a volume of about 400,000 gallons. It can hold 5 days of production at their current output (when they first built it the digester held seven to ten days of their output). The bottom, walls and cover are all reinforced concrete.

**Biogas Use and Other Outputs.** The digester produces biogas at a rate of about 40-50 cfm and methane content of about 70 percent. Until early 2002, biogas was flared and they had a separate natural gas-fueled boiler to heat the digester. In early 2002 they added a combined heat and power system and began using waste heat from the engine to heat the digester. They installed a Hess 200 kW generator with a Daiwoo engine. They predict steady generation of about 200kW (based on about a 95 percent up time) for an annual production of about 1.6 million kWh per year. They will use recovered heat for the digester, offices, lab, and utility building.

Separated solids are land applied. Digestate is treated with activated sludge aeration after digestion and spray irrigated.

**Maintenance Needs.** Their operation and maintenance program for the digester has become "100 percent more effective" with some modifications in materials used and preventative maintenance measures. For example they have minimized the effects of struvite buildup in the digester through regular valve attention. They plan to clean their heat exchanger on a quarterly

---

6 Struvite is a white crystalline substance consisting of magnesium, ammonium and phosphorus in equal molar concentrations (Parsons et al., no date).
basis. The routine maintenance of the digester costs them about $20,000 per year, and they have a maintenance agreement with Wolter Hydraulics and Generators, which covers the generation equipment for $0.011 per kWh.

**Project History.** As odors from their operation became a growing issue due to land development around them, Maple Leaf Farms installed an anaerobic digestion system to minimize the problem. The system was installed in 1988 over a period of about 3 months. They originally had startup problems, in which the microbes died, resulting in effluent that was undigested. In about six months they had it running smoothly. They need to clean it out every 5-8 years, and have done so once so far (they are due for another clean out soon). After their one clean-out, they had it running smoothly again in about a month. The system has been operational since 1988, and the digester has been running 100 percent of the time except for during the clean-out period. They installed a combined heat and power system in 2002 and were able to replace the propane boiler they were using to heat the digester. They ordered a special switch to allow their generator to act as a backup generator for the wastewater treatment plant (i.e., a synchronous generator that could operate independent of the utility if needed). They have spent about six months debugging the energy generation system and figuring out what kind of routine maintenance it needs with their operation.

**System Costs.** The digester design and installation cost about $350,000 in 1988 (about $509,000 in year 2000 dollars). The energy generation system cost $270,000 in 2002. This included the special transfer switch to allow synchronous generation ($10,000). They also had purchased a special heat exchanger in anticipation of participating in a microturbine research project. The project then fell through, rendering the heat exchanger marginally useful. They received a $65,000 grant from the Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, and they will also receive federal tax credit for making electricity from poultry manure.

**Revenues and Other Benefits.** They have signed a two-year contract with WE Energies for electricity sales. They predict that they will be able to offset electricity costs with savings of about $60,000 per year (based on a power sales rate of $0.05/kWh), and add about $18,000 in revenues from electricity sales. They sell all electricity to WE Energies, but have a net metering agreement where they are paid a higher rate for their net energy sold. Maple Leaf Farms gets a premium of $0.011 per Kw. This is equal to the maintenance contract cost. They are paid a total of $0.061/kWh for the electricity generated.

They also predict savings of about $50,000 per year on propane costs based on a $0.75/gallon price of propane.

**Lessons and Comments.** If they were to do it over again, they would probably do more of the engineering and construction work themselves. Installing a digester has allowed them to continue to operate at their current location and be good neighbors as the city grew around them.

**Information Sources.** Bob Rosdil, Maple Leaf Farms  
John Kouba, Applied Technologies, Inc.
CHAPTER 4

TABULAR CASE SUMMARIES
This chapter presents the information from the case studies in tabular format. This format allows easier comparison of specific characteristics across all cases. Information is grouped into the following subsets: farm, digester, digester outputs, cost, and digester history.

Farm Information Subset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farm Name</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>City/Town</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Herd Size</th>
<th>Manure Collection</th>
<th>Daily Manure Treated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONSTRUCTION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herrema Dairy</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>Fair Oaks</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>3,500-4,000 cows</td>
<td>scrape</td>
<td>123,000 gpd*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(predicted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Horizons Dairy</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Elmwood</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>1,250 (will be 2,000 in Sept '02)</td>
<td>scrape</td>
<td>40,000 gpd (expected at 2,000 cows)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Plains Dairy</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>St. Peter</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>3,000 Jersey cows</td>
<td>scrape</td>
<td>60,000 gpd (~20 gal/cow/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STARTUP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawford Farm</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>swine finishing</td>
<td>2,800 head (all in all out)</td>
<td>pull plug, scraper system</td>
<td>29,000 gpd (10.5 gal/sow/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double S Dairy</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>Markesan</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>700 milking cows (~730 feeding (design 1k) digester)</td>
<td>flush</td>
<td>21,000 gpd (estimated) (30 gal/cow/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Futura Dairy</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Central City</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>420 cows (design 750)</td>
<td>scrape</td>
<td>10,000 gpd (estimated 25 gal/cow/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast IA CC Farm</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Calmar</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>170, 100-120 cows feed digester</td>
<td>automatic and tractor scrapers</td>
<td>4,400 gpd (~40 gal/cow/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stencil Farm</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>850 cows (design 1,200)</td>
<td>scrape and skid steer</td>
<td>not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinedale Farms</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>Kaukauna</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>1,800 cows</td>
<td>scrape to pit then gravity flow</td>
<td>45,000 gpd, (designed for 60,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top Deck Holsteins</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Westgate</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>700 cows</td>
<td>automatic scrapers</td>
<td>20,000 gpd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesome Dairy</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>Hilbert</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>3,000 cows (2 digesters)</td>
<td>scrape then gravity flow</td>
<td>66,000 gpd (22 gal/cow/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OPERATIONAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apex Pork</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Rio</td>
<td>swine finishing</td>
<td>8,300 head</td>
<td>pull plug</td>
<td>~11,000 gpd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin Dairy</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>Emerald</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>1,100 cows</td>
<td>scrape</td>
<td>27,500 gpd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell Farms</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Thayer</td>
<td>swine farrow &amp; gestation</td>
<td>5,000 sows</td>
<td>pull plug</td>
<td>21,000 g/day (feed 16,500 gal/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerald Dairy</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>Emerald</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>1,600 cows</td>
<td>scrape</td>
<td>40,000 gpd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairgrove Farms</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>Sturgis</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>500 cows</td>
<td>scrape</td>
<td>8,000 to 9,000 gpd, (~ 15 gal/cow/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordondale Farms</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>Nelsonville</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>600 cows, 800 cow (capacity)</td>
<td>scrape</td>
<td>18,000 gpd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haubenschild Farms</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>Princeton</td>
<td>dairy</td>
<td>750-850 milking, ~900 total</td>
<td>scrape</td>
<td>22,000 gpd, (28 gal/cow/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple Leaf Farms</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>Franksville</td>
<td>duck</td>
<td>500,000 ducks</td>
<td>scrape dry bedding and wire floor, wash into tank</td>
<td>about 50,000 gpd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* gpd = gallons per day
## Digester Information Subset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farm Name</th>
<th>Phases</th>
<th>Temperature Category</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Cover</th>
<th>Design HRT</th>
<th>Practice HRT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONSTRUCTION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herrema Dairy</td>
<td>combined</td>
<td>mesophilic</td>
<td>plug flow loop (x2)</td>
<td>fixed</td>
<td>20 days</td>
<td>not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Horizons Dairy</td>
<td>combined</td>
<td>mesophilic</td>
<td>plug flow (x2)</td>
<td>flexible</td>
<td>20 days</td>
<td>not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Plains Dairy</td>
<td>combined</td>
<td>mesophilic</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>flexible</td>
<td>20 days</td>
<td>not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STARTUP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawford Farm</td>
<td>two phase</td>
<td>mesophilic*</td>
<td>ASBR</td>
<td>flexible</td>
<td>15 days</td>
<td>not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double S Dairy</td>
<td>combined</td>
<td>mesophilic</td>
<td>plug flow loop</td>
<td>fixed</td>
<td>20 days, SRT 25-30 days</td>
<td>not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Futura Dairy</td>
<td>combined</td>
<td>mesophilic</td>
<td>plug flow loop</td>
<td>fixed</td>
<td>20 days, SRT 25-30 days</td>
<td>not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast IA CC Farm</td>
<td>combined</td>
<td>mesophilic</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>fixed</td>
<td>13-14 days (shorter if MPW included)</td>
<td>not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stencil Farm</td>
<td>combined</td>
<td>mesophilic</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>flexible</td>
<td>20 days</td>
<td>22-23 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinedale Farms</td>
<td>two phase</td>
<td>mesophilic/thermophilic</td>
<td>TPAD</td>
<td>undisclosed</td>
<td>15 days</td>
<td>20 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top Deck Holsteins</td>
<td>combined</td>
<td>mesophilic</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>fixed</td>
<td>14 days (shorter because of preheating)</td>
<td>not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesome Dairy</td>
<td>combined</td>
<td>mesophilic</td>
<td>plug flow (x2)</td>
<td>fixed</td>
<td>20 days</td>
<td>25 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OPERATIONAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apex Pork</td>
<td>combined</td>
<td>mesophilic</td>
<td>complete mix (lagoon)</td>
<td>bank-buried floating cover</td>
<td>20 days</td>
<td>18 days (estimate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin Dairy</td>
<td>combined</td>
<td>psychrophilic</td>
<td>covered lagoon</td>
<td>bank-buried floating cover</td>
<td>6-7 months</td>
<td>not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell Farms</td>
<td>combined</td>
<td>mesophilic</td>
<td>complete mix</td>
<td>flexible</td>
<td>20 days</td>
<td>35 days (estimate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerald Dairy</td>
<td>combined</td>
<td>psychrophilic</td>
<td>covered lagoon</td>
<td>bank-buried floating cover</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairgrove Farms</td>
<td>combined</td>
<td>mesophilic</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>fixed</td>
<td>18 days on average</td>
<td>20-21 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordondale Farms</td>
<td>combined</td>
<td>mesophilic</td>
<td>plug flow loop</td>
<td>fixed</td>
<td>HRT 20 days, SRT 25-30 days</td>
<td>20 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haubenschild Farms</td>
<td>combined</td>
<td>mesophilic</td>
<td>plug flow</td>
<td>flexible</td>
<td>20 days</td>
<td>21 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple Leaf Farms</td>
<td>combined</td>
<td>mesophilic</td>
<td>complete mix</td>
<td>fixed</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>5 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The target operating temperature for the Crawford Farm digester (86°F) is below the range defined as “mesophilic” in Lusk, 1998, but falls within the mesophilic range as defined by the German Biogas Association and that defined by IEA Bioenergy. The designer defines the digester as mesophilic.*
## Digester Information Subset (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farm Name</th>
<th>Solids Separation</th>
<th>Separator Type</th>
<th>Design Temp.</th>
<th>RAS</th>
<th>Digester Designer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONSTRUCTION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herrema Dairy</td>
<td>planned</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>100°F</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>GHD, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Horizons Dairy</td>
<td>planned</td>
<td>post digestion</td>
<td>100°F</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>RCM Digesters, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Plains Dairy</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Fayn or Vincent screw press, post digestion</td>
<td>100°F</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>RCM Digesters, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STARTUP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawford Farm</td>
<td>settling tank (sized to</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>86°F</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Shih Wu Sung and Fox Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>batch) after</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>digester</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double S Dairy</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>screw press, post digestion</td>
<td>100°F</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>GHD, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Futura Dairy</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Vincent screw press separator, post digestion</td>
<td>100°F</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>GHD, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast IA CC Farm</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Vincent screw press separator, post digestion</td>
<td>98°F</td>
<td>potential</td>
<td>Dan Meyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stencil Farm</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Fayn brand screw press, post digestion</td>
<td>100°F</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>RCM Digesters, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinedale Farms</td>
<td>planned</td>
<td>not an agricultural type separator, post digestion</td>
<td>95-100°F and 130°F</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Ag Environmental Solutions, LLC, and STS Consultants, Ltd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top Deck Holsteins</td>
<td>planned</td>
<td>post-digestion</td>
<td>98°F</td>
<td>potential</td>
<td>Dan Meyer and AGRIN, LLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesome Dairy</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>auger type squeeze separator, post digestion</td>
<td>100°F</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>GHD, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OPERATIONAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apex Pork</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>RCM Digesters, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin Dairy</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>ambient</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Tiry Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell Farms</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>considering using before digestion to reduce liquid</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>RCM Digesters, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerald Dairy</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>ambient</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Tiry Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairgrove Farms</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Vincent screw press, post digestion</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>AGRIN, LLC, and Perennial Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordondale Farms</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>screw press post digestion</td>
<td>100°F</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>GHD, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haubenschild Farms</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>100°F</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>RCM Digesters, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple Leaf Farms</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>internally fed rotary drum screen prior to digestion</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Applied Technologies, Inc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Digester Outputs Subset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farm Name</th>
<th>Biogas in CFD</th>
<th>Methane Content</th>
<th>Prime Mover *</th>
<th>Electricity Output b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONSTRUCTION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herrema Dairy</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>350kW Hess engine generator (x2)</td>
<td>4.56 million kWh/year, (predicted) or 550kW avg. output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Horizons Dairy</td>
<td>140,000-170,000 predicted</td>
<td>60% (predicted)</td>
<td>150kW Caterpillar 3406 engine generator set (x2) (135kW for biogas) induction generator</td>
<td>1.766,000 kWh/yr (predicted – net of on-farm use)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Plains Dairy</td>
<td>140,000 –160,000 predicted</td>
<td>60% (predicted)</td>
<td>160kW Caterpillar 3406T engine generator set (x2) (140kW for biogas)</td>
<td>2.3 million kWh/yr (predicted) or 280kW avg. output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STARTUP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawford Farm</td>
<td>was 40,000-45,000 in '99, now in startup</td>
<td>not available (was 74% in '99)</td>
<td>undisclosed boiler</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double S Dairy</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>54-60%</td>
<td>200kW Hess engine generator set</td>
<td>1.2M - 1.6M kWh/yr @1000 cows (predicted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Futura Dairy</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>140kW Hess engine generator set (synchronous)</td>
<td>not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast IA CC Farm</td>
<td>4,600</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>undisclosed boiler</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stencil Farm</td>
<td>72,000</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>140kW Caterpillar engine generator set</td>
<td>not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinedale Farms</td>
<td>expect 288,000, now 158,000</td>
<td>was 65%, expect as high as 75%</td>
<td>375kW Waukesha engine generator set (x2)</td>
<td>not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top Deck Holsteins</td>
<td>52,000</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>100kW Waukesha, 30kW Capstone microturbine</td>
<td>832,000 kWh/yr (predicted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesome Dairy</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>52-56%</td>
<td>508kW Deutz engine generator set (synchronous)</td>
<td>4.1 million kWh/yr (predicted) or 500 kW avg. output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OPERATIONAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apex Pork</td>
<td>36,000 mid summer '98</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>Burnham boiler</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin Dairy</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>60% summer, 40% winter</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell Farms</td>
<td>32,000</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>80kW Caterpillar 3306 engine generator set (70kW for biogas)</td>
<td>390,000 kWh/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerald Dairy</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>60% summer, 40% winter</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairgrove Farms</td>
<td>57,000 (down recently to 43,000)</td>
<td>62% (down recently to 60%)</td>
<td>85kW Caterpillar engine generator set (70kW for biogas)</td>
<td>480,000 kWh/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordondale Farms</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>62-64%</td>
<td>150kW Caterpillar 3406 engine generator set (135kW for biogas)</td>
<td>1.12 mil kWh/yr (predicted) or 135kW avg. output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haubenschild Farms</td>
<td>72,000</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>150kW Caterpillar 3406 engine generator set (135kW for biogas)</td>
<td>~3000 kWh/day (owner adds &lt;1gal/day of propane to engine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple Leaf Farms</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>200kW Hess engine generator set</td>
<td>1.66 million kWh/year (predicted) or ~200kw avg. output</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Some engine generator sets (as noted) have been specially rated for biogas. Equipment ratings are listed as they were reported in the interviews.
b. When annual output was not supplied by those surveyed, it was estimated based on production rate and 95% uptime.
## Cost Information Subset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farm Name</th>
<th>Digester Cost</th>
<th>Energy Equipment Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>Utility Contract</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONSTRUCTION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herrema Dairy</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>Not yet, will probably sell all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Horizons Dairy</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>$1,000,000 (estimate)</td>
<td>Not yet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Plains Dairy</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>$1,500,000 (estimate)</td>
<td>Not yet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STARTUP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawford Farm</td>
<td>$165,000</td>
<td>$45,500</td>
<td>$290,000</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double S Dairy</td>
<td>$500,000 (predicted total)</td>
<td>utility owns – cost undisclosed</td>
<td>$500,000 (digester alone)</td>
<td>Not yet. Will have gas meters on each system, (engine and flare); Alliant Energy will purchase gas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Futura Dairy</td>
<td>$104,000</td>
<td>$163,000</td>
<td>$307,000 (includes $40k in unexpected additional costs)</td>
<td>No. Utility does not provide power to the farm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast IA CC Farm</td>
<td>$155,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$225,000 (includes 30k in unexpected costs)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stencil Farm</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>$400,000 (estimate)</td>
<td>Yes. Have interconnection contract with Wisconsin Public Service, sell at tariffed rate, use for farm first, do net metering.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinedale Farms</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>Contract with WE Energy, all electricity sold to the utility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top Deck Holsteins</td>
<td>$140,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$400,000 (includes $60,000 in unexpected costs)</td>
<td>Yes. Have a sell all agreement with Wisconsin Public Service. Rate undisclosed. Rate includes adder for renewable energy credits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesome Dairy</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>$1.3 million</td>
<td>Yes. Alliant pays farm on a scaled rate structure, no net metering, no time of day rate now.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OPERATIONAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apex Pork</td>
<td>$66,700</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>$152,300 (total cost for system including changes)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin Dairy</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>$70,000 (includes only cover and gas collection equipment)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell Farms</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>$576,000 (1/2 covered by Iowa and Fed funding)</td>
<td>Yes. Contract with rural electric cooperative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerald Dairy</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>$125,000 (includes only cover and gas collection equipment)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairgrove Farms</td>
<td>$150,000 (1981 dollars, $284,000 in 2000 dollars)</td>
<td>$50,000 (1981 dollars, $96,000 in 2000 dollars)</td>
<td>$200,000 (in 1981 dollars, $380,000 in 2000 dollars)</td>
<td>Yes. Sell to utility at $.03/kW (they pay $.10/kW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordondale Farms</td>
<td>between $280,000 and $300,000</td>
<td>$230,000</td>
<td>$520,000 total</td>
<td>Yes. Utility purchases gas based on kWh produced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haubenschild Farms</td>
<td>$125,100</td>
<td>$157,500</td>
<td>$355,000 (includes $40,000 in-kind services from AgSTAR, extra wiring and plumbing, and some other unexpected costs)</td>
<td>Yes. Contract with East Central Energy Cooperative, power purchase 7.25 cents/kWh, same rate for elec. used on farm. Changed to 7.3 in 2001.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple Leaf Farms</td>
<td>$350,000 (in 1988 dollars, $509,000 in 2000 dollars)</td>
<td>$270,000 (purchased in 2002)</td>
<td>$779,000</td>
<td>Yes. Have 2 year contract with WE Energies, sell all to utility, $0.05/kWh premium (net).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Digester History Subset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farm Name</th>
<th>Construction Period</th>
<th>Startup Period</th>
<th>Date Operational</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONSTRUCTION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herrema Dairy</td>
<td>March 2002, start populating July 2002</td>
<td>August 2002 (predicted)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Horizons Dairy</td>
<td>October 2001 – August 2002</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Plains Dairy</td>
<td>May 2002 – September 2002</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STARTUP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawford Farm</td>
<td>Summer 1998 to Fall 1998</td>
<td>December 1998 to May 1999</td>
<td>stabilized May 1999, now off line awaiting part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double S Dairy</td>
<td>built dairy and digester at same time, Oct '01-Feb '02</td>
<td>May 2002, started engine running on biogas, started manure feed in March 2002</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Futura Dairy</td>
<td>new dairy, April 2001 – September 2001</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast IA CC Farm</td>
<td>August '00 - Oct. '00 digester, boiler added March '01</td>
<td>April 2001 first time, ran 3-4 months, second April 2002</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stencil Farm</td>
<td>June 01-Jan 02, farmer was general contractor</td>
<td>Began January 2002</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinedale Farms</td>
<td>2 periods, some idle time</td>
<td>June – September 2001, switched to TPAD, October – December 2001, then meso-meso again</td>
<td>predicted going to TPAD in July 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesome Dairy</td>
<td>Spring 2001 for 9 months</td>
<td>Began end of April 2002</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OPERATIONAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apex Pork</td>
<td>took about 3 months, did most themselves, heater is 3” black steel pipe</td>
<td>1st, summer 1998, second December 28, 1998</td>
<td>first, June 1998, second January 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin Dairy</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell Farms</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>June 1999 - August 1999, new farm took a while to populate with pigs</td>
<td>September 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerald Dairy</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairgrove Farms</td>
<td>October 1980 (estimate)</td>
<td>original 1 month, same after each clean-out</td>
<td>January 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haubenschild Farms</td>
<td>March 1999 to July 1999</td>
<td>July 1999 started warming manure - September 1999</td>
<td>September 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple Leaf Farms</td>
<td>Built in 1988, about 3 months</td>
<td>1st time 6 months., after clean-out for 2nd try up in 1 month.</td>
<td>1988</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX A: REFERENCES

Al Seadi, Teodorita, Good Practice in Quality Management of AD Residues from Biogas Production, Task 24, Energy from Biological Conversion of Organic Waste, IEA Bioenergy, University of Southern Denmark, Esbjerg, Denmark, no date.


da Costa Gomez, Claudius, "State-of-Art and Future Development in German Biogas," Secretary General, German Biogas Association, Freising, Germany, no date.


Midwest Energy Research Center, Turning Manure Into Gold: Converting Agricultural Waste to Energy, prepared for the Ohio Biomass Energy Program, Columbus, OH, 2000. This publication can be downloaded from the following URL: www.opaemerc.org/biomass.pdf, or ordered in print form from the following site http://www.theoec.org.


APPENDIX B: SAMPLE OF WEB-BASED RESOURCES

ADNet European and Canadian resource site

Canadian ManureNet Web site
http://res2.agr.ca/initiatives/manurenet/manurenet_en.html

German Biogas Association home page
http://www.biogas.org/english/frame1.html

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's AgSTAR site
http://www.epa.gov/agstar/
### APPENDIX C: STATE FUNDING SOURCES OR OTHER INCENTIVES FOR AGRICULTURAL BIOGAS PROJECTS

**RESIDENTS OF ALL GREAT LAKES STATES ARE ELIGIBLE FOR:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>AgSTAR</strong></th>
<th><strong>Great Lakes Regional Biomass Energy Program</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact person</strong></td>
<td><strong>Funding specifics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(800) 95-AgSTAR</td>
<td>Directed to show dairy and pork producers &quot;how to manage manure profitably while protecting the environment.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.epa.gov/outreach/agstar/index.htm">http://www.epa.gov/outreach/agstar/index.htm</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Funding amount</strong></td>
<td><strong>Application</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact AgSTAR for more information.</td>
<td>Contact AgSTAR for more information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Rural Business Opportunity Grants - USDA Rural Business Cooperative Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Contact person</strong></th>
<th><strong>Funding specifics</strong></th>
<th><strong>Contact person</strong></th>
<th><strong>Funding specifics</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.rurdev.usda.gov/rbs/busbpdir.htm">http://www.rurdev.usda.gov/rbs/busbpdir.htm</a></td>
<td>USDA seeks to assist entities in rural areas to obtain loans for the purpose of improving the economic and environmental climate in rural communities, including initiatives for pollution abatement and control.</td>
<td>Doug Kaempf</td>
<td>Cost sharing for a wide range of biomass projects, including agricultural biogas systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(202) 586-5264</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.ott.doe.gov/rbep">http://www.ott.doe.gov/rbep</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Funding amount</strong></td>
<td><strong>Application</strong></td>
<td><strong>Funding amount</strong></td>
<td><strong>Application</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans ranging from $35,000 to $10 million for a total of $50 million.</td>
<td>Contact the USDA Rural Business Cooperative Program for more information.</td>
<td>Contact the U.S. Department of Energy for more information.</td>
<td>Contact the U.S. Department of Energy for more information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### US Department of Agriculture - Rural Development

| **USDA Environmental Quality Incentives Program** |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| **Contact person** | **Funding specifics** | **Contact person** | **Funding specifics** |
| James T. Cogan | Many different loans are available. Ask which loans you might qualify for. | Anthony Esser | The Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) provides technical and financial assistance to eligible farmers and ranchers to address soil, water, and related natural resource concerns on their lands in an environmentally beneficial manner. Contracts of up to 10 years are made with eligible producers. Cost-share payments may be made to implement one or more land management practices, such as animal waste management facilities, terraces, filter strips, tree planting, and permanent wildlife habitat. Incentive payments can be made to implement one or more land management practices, such as nutrient management, pest management, and grazing land management. Sixty percent of the funding available for the program will be targeted at practices relating to livestock production. |
| For a contact person in your area, go to http://offices.usda.gov/scripts/ndSAPI.dll/oip_public/USA_mmap and click on your state, then county. | | anthony.esser@usda.gov | |
| | | http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/programs/eqip/ | |
| **Funding amount** | **Application** | **Funding amount** | **Application** |
| Contact James Cogan for more information. | Contact James Cogan for more information. | Contact Anthony Esser for more information. | Contact Anthony Esser for more information. |
### RESIDENTS OF MANY OF THE GREAT LAKES STATES (SEE FUNDING SPECIFICS) ARE ELIGIBLE FOR:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Renewable Development Fund - Xcel Energy</th>
<th>The Ohio Energy Efficiency Revolving Loan Fund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact person</strong></td>
<td><strong>Contact person</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debra Paulson, Case Specialists, Regulatory Administration (612) 904-5366</td>
<td>Judy Jones (614) 466-8139 <a href="mailto:jsjones@odod.state.oh.us">jsjones@odod.state.oh.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preference is given to proposals that include: promoting economic growth in Minnesota, providing additional value by leveraging requested RDF funds with other sources, and others listed in the Request for Proposal. Follow up research and/or questions may be required. Priority is given to: 1) Minnesota farms in Xcel Energy’s service territory, 2) located in Minnesota, 3) located within Xcel Energy’s service territory in ND, SD, WI or MI.</td>
<td>Individuals or businesses within the territories of the following utilities are eligible to apply for a loan: First Energy, American Electric Power, Dayton Power and Light, Cinergy (Cincinnati Gas and Electric) and Monongahela Power (Allegheny Power)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Funding amount</strong></td>
<td><strong>Application</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The seven grants awarded to biomass projects in 2001 ranged from $60,000 to $1,250,142.</td>
<td>&quot;Target Schedule&quot; - Applicants responded to an annual &quot;Request for Proposal&quot; sent out in July by Xcel. Xcel forwarded their final selections to MN Public Utilities Commission in November for final approval. Individuals may also apply if funding is for experimentation or research (select category B or C on the application).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low interest loan - Approximately $15 million awarded per year.</td>
<td>Contact Judy Jones for more information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RESIDENTS OF IOWA ARE ELIGIBLE FOR:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternate Energy Revolving Loan Program - Iowa Energy Center</th>
<th>IOWA - Environmental Protection Agency - Office of Wastewater Management &quot;The Clean Water State Revolving Fund Program&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact person</strong></td>
<td><strong>Contact person</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith Kutz Iowa Energy Center 2521 Elwood Drive, Suite 124 Ames, IA 50010-8263 (515) 294-8819 <a href="http://www.energy.iastate.edu/AE">http://www.energy.iastate.edu/AE</a> RLP/loans.html</td>
<td>Jeffrey R. Vonk, Director Department of Natural Resources 502 E. Ninth Street Des Moines, IA 50319-0034 Phone: (515) 281-5385 Fax: (515) 281-6794 <a href="mailto:jeff.vonk@dnr.state.ia.us">jeff.vonk@dnr.state.ia.us</a> <a href="http://www.epa.gov/owm/finan.htm">http://www.epa.gov/owm/finan.htm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Funding amount</strong></td>
<td><strong>Application</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-interest loans for 50% of the total loan, up to a maximum of $250,000 will be awarded. Zero percent interest loan for half of financing costs associated with project.</td>
<td>Application deadlines: October 31 and March 31 of each year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa Dept. of Natural Resources</td>
<td>Iowa Dept. of Natural Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact person</strong></td>
<td><strong>Contact person</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Downing</td>
<td>David Downing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(515) 281-4876</td>
<td>(515) 281-4876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:david.downing@dnr.state.ia.us">david.downing@dnr.state.ia.us</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:david.downing@dnr.state.ia.us">david.downing@dnr.state.ia.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Funding specifics</strong></td>
<td><strong>Funding specifics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane Gas Conversion Property Tax Exemption</td>
<td>Methane Energy Replacement Generation Tax Exemption. This statute exempts electricity generated by methane gas conversion property from the replacement generation tax, which is six hundredths of a cent per kilowatt-hour.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligible technologies include alternative fuels.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding amount</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Funding amount</th>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loan amount - 100% of the project.</td>
<td>Applications shall be filed with the assessing authority not later than February 1 of each year for which the exemption is requested on forms provided by the Department of Revenue and Finance.</td>
<td>Six hundredths of a cent per kWh</td>
<td>Contact David Downing for more information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iowa Energy Center</th>
<th>Solid Waste Alternatives Programs (SWAP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact person</strong></td>
<td><strong>Contact person</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith Kutz</td>
<td>Valerie Drew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa Energy Center</td>
<td>(515) 281-8672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ames, IA 50010-8263</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.energy.iastate.edu/">http://www.energy.iastate.edu/</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Funding amount</strong></td>
<td><strong>Application</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>varies</td>
<td>Contact Keith Kutz for more information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value-Added Agricultural Products and Processes Financial Assistance Program (VAAPFAP) - Iowa Renewable Fuel Fund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact person</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa Department of Economic Development - Bureau of Business Finance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.smart.state.ia.us/financial.htm#vaapfap">http://www.smart.state.ia.us/financial.htm#vaapfap</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Funding amount</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination of forgivable and traditional loans, with a maximum of $525,000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renee Cipriano, Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Protection Agency P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276 Phone: (217) 782-3397 Fax: (217) 782-9039 <a href="mailto:renee.cipriano@epa.state.il.us">renee.cipriano@epa.state.il.us</a> <a href="http://www.epa.gov/owm/finan.htm">http://www.epa.gov/owm/finan.htm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Loos IL Dept. of Commerce and Community Affairs; Bureau of Energy and Recycling 325 West Adams, Room 300 Springfield, IL 62704-1892 Phone: (217) 785-3969 Fax (217) 785-2618 <a href="mailto:dloos@commerce.state.il.us">dloos@commerce.state.il.us</a> <a href="http://www.ies.ncsu.edu/dsire/library/includes/incentive2.cfm?Incentive_Code=IL02F&amp;state=IL&amp;currentntype=1">http://www.ies.ncsu.edu/dsire/library/includes/incentive2.cfm?Incentive_Code=IL02F&amp;state=IL&amp;currentntype=1</a>:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renee Cipriano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Loos</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESIDENTS OF INDIANA ARE ELIGIBLE FOR:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Funding specifics</th>
<th>Contact person</th>
<th>Funding specifics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alternative Power and Energy Grant Program - Indiana</td>
<td>This program is designed to enable businesses and</td>
<td>Philip Powlick</td>
<td>Previously the Construction Grants Program, this new,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Commerce Energy Policy Division</td>
<td>institutions to install and study alternative and</td>
<td>Indiana Department of Commerce;</td>
<td>more flexible program, with control at the state level,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>renewable energy system applications in areas such</td>
<td>Energy Policy Division</td>
<td>has a wide variety of options, including funding of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>as power generation, heating, and waste-to-energy.</td>
<td>One North Capitol, #700</td>
<td>agriculture best management practices, and manure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Indianapolis, IN 46204-2248</td>
<td>management and disposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phone: (317) 232-8970</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: (317) 232-8995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.state.in.us/doc/energy/">http://www.state.in.us/doc/energy/</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>transportation.html</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDIANA - Environmental Protection Agency - Office of</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lori Kaplan, Commissioner</td>
<td>Contact Lori Kaplan for more information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater Management &quot;The Clean Water State Revolving</td>
<td></td>
<td>Department of Environmental</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Program</td>
<td></td>
<td>Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 6015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Indianapolis, IN 46206-6015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phone: (317) 232-8603</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: (317) 233-6647</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:lkaplan@dem.state.in.us">lkaplan@dem.state.in.us</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.epa.gov/owm/finan.htm">http://www.epa.gov/owm/finan.htm</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding amount</td>
<td>Application</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants range in size from $5,000</td>
<td>Low interest loans, or other options such as;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to $30,000 while grantees are required to provide at least</td>
<td>refinancing, purchasing, or guaranteeing local</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20% of the equipment costs.</td>
<td>debt, and purchasing bond insurance.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rolling applications.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESIDENTS OF MICHIGAN ARE ELIGIBLE FOR:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Funding specifics</th>
<th>Contact person</th>
<th>Funding specifics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Michigan biomass Energy Program (MBEP)</td>
<td>The MBEP provides funding for state bioenergy/biofuel</td>
<td>Kelly Launder</td>
<td>Previously the Construction Grants Program, this new,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>projects on an annual basis.</td>
<td>Energy Office</td>
<td>more flexible program, with control at the state level,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Michigan Dept. of Consumer &amp;</td>
<td>has a wide variety of options, including funding of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Industry Services</td>
<td>agriculture best management practices, and manure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6545 Mercantile Way, Suite #9</td>
<td>management and disposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lansing, MI 48911</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phone: (517) 241-6223,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fax: (517) 241-6229</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E-mail: <a href="mailto:klaund@michigan.gov">klaund@michigan.gov</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Public Service Commission Low-income and Energy</td>
<td>Requests for proposal posted regularly - next</td>
<td>Michel Heiser</td>
<td>Contact Russell Harding for more information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency Fund</td>
<td>posting expected March/April 2003.</td>
<td>Director of the Competitive Energy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Division</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>517-241-6160</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding amount</td>
<td>Application</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Kelly Launder for more information.</td>
<td>Contact Kelly Launder for more information.</td>
<td>Low interest loans, or other</td>
<td>Contact Russell Harding for more information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>options such as; refinancing,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>purchasing, or guaranteeing local</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>debt, and purchasing bond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>insurance.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

72
Up to $60,000,000 will be made available annually from this fund for six years. In July, 2002, $12.2 million was awarded to projects, which “develop or improve energy efficient technologies, including those advancing the use of alternative fuels; increase the development of emerging technologies; increase the availability, distribution and market share of various energy technologies; and demonstrate the application of these technologies.”

Contact Michel Heiser for details

### RESIDENTS OF MINNESOTA ARE ELIGIBLE FOR:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact person</strong></td>
<td><strong>Contact person</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terry Kuhlman, Executive Director</td>
<td>Rory Artig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Facilities Authority</td>
<td>Minnesota Department of Commerce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 Metro Square, 121 7th Place East</td>
<td>Energy Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Paul, MN 55101-2146</td>
<td>85 E. 7th Place, Suite 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone: (651) 296-4704</td>
<td>St. Paul, MN 55101-2198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: (651) 296-5287</td>
<td>Phone: (651) 297-2326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:terry.kuhlman@state.mn.us">terry.kuhlman@state.mn.us</a></td>
<td>Fax (651) 297-7891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.epa.gov/owm/finan.htm">http://www.epa.gov/owm/finan.htm</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:rory.artig@state.mn.us">rory.artig@state.mn.us</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Funding amount</strong></th>
<th><strong>Application</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low interest loans, or other options such as; refinancing, purchasing, or guaranteeing local debt, and purchasing bond insurance.</td>
<td>Contact Terry Kuhlman for more information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Funding amount</strong></th>
<th><strong>Application</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contact Terry Kuhlman for more information.</td>
<td>Minnesota will offer a 1.5 cent per kilowatt hour payment for electricity generated from new wind energy projects less than 2 MW in capacity. Qualifying projects will receive payments for ten years.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESIDENTS OF OHIO ARE ELIGIBLE FOR:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OHIO - Environmental Protection Agency - Division of Environmental and Financial Assistance &quot;Water Pollution Control Loan Fund&quot;</th>
<th>Ohio Air Quality Development Authority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact person</strong></td>
<td><strong>Contact person</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregory H. Smith, Division Chief</td>
<td>Mark Shanahan or Mike Suver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio EPA, Division of Environmental and Financial Assistance</td>
<td>(800) 225-5051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.O. Box 1049</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mark.shanahan@aqda.state.oh.us">mark.shanahan@aqda.state.oh.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122 South Front Street</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ohioairquality.org/">http://www.ohioairquality.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbus, OH 43216-1049</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone: (614) 644-2798</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: (614) 644-3687</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:greg.smith@epa.state.oh.us">greg.smith@epa.state.oh.us</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.epa.state.oh.us/defa/main.html">http://www.epa.state.oh.us/defa/main.html</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Funding amount</strong></td>
<td><strong>Funding amount</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low interest direct loans, interest rate buy-down from private lenders, credit enhancements. No maximum loan amount. Eligible costs for planning, design, and construction may be funded.</td>
<td>Contact Mark Shanahan for more information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Application</strong></td>
<td><strong>Application</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual funding cycle; applications accepted at any time. Please contact Greg Smith for details.</td>
<td>Contact Mark Shanahan for more information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ohio Biomass Energy Program**

| **Contact person** | **Funding specifics** |
| Anne Goodge, Program Director | Grant funding available on occasional basis. Contact Anne Goodge or see the Web site under “Biomass Grants and Assistance”. |
| Ohio Biomass Energy Program; Public Utilities Commission of Ohio | |
| 180 East Broad Street | |
| Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793 | |
| Phone: (614) 644-7857 | |
| Anne.Goodge@puc.state.oh.us | |
| http://www.puc.state.oh.us/ohioutil/biomass/biomass.html | |

**Funding amount**

Contact Anne Goodge or see the Web site under “Biomass Grants and Assistance”.

RESIDENTS OF WISCONSIN ARE ELIGIBLE FOR:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Benefits Fund - Wisconsin Energy Bureau</th>
<th>Renewable Energy Assistance Program (REAP) - Wisconsin Department of Administration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact person</strong></td>
<td><strong>Contact person</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex DePilllis</td>
<td>Alex DePilllis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin Energy Bureau; Division of Energy &amp; Public Benefits</td>
<td>Wisconsin Energy Bureau; Division of Energy &amp; Public Benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO Box 7668</td>
<td>PO Box 7668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madison, WI 53707-7668</td>
<td>Madison, WI 53707-7668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone: (608) 266-1067</td>
<td>Phone: (608) 266-1067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax (608) 267-6931</td>
<td>Fax (608) 267-6931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:alex.depillis@doa.state.wi.us">alex.depillis@doa.state.wi.us</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:alex.depillis@doa.state.wi.us">alex.depillis@doa.state.wi.us</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.doa.state.wi.us/depb/b">http://www.doa.state.wi.us/depb/b</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.doa.state.wi.us/depb/bo">http://www.doa.state.wi.us/depb/bo</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Funding specifics</strong></td>
<td><strong>Funding specifics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial assistance to low income programs and energy efficiency and renewable energy services.</td>
<td>Program provides grant funds for renewable energy systems incorporated into construction projects. All renewable energy technologies are eligible for these grants, although the focus of the projects funded are wood/biogas and hydropower energy projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding amount</td>
<td>Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual finding is roughly $84 million, with at least $3.8 million going toward renewables projects.</td>
<td>Funding to be continued through 2005.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Waste Reduction and Recycling - Wisconsin DNR Bureau of Community Financial Assistance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact person</th>
<th>Funding specifics</th>
<th>Contact person</th>
<th>Funding specifics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sheila Henneger Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, Bureau of Community Financial Assistance PO Box 7921 Madison, WI 53707-7921 (608) 266-7555 <a href="mailto:hennes@dnr.state.wi.us">hennes@dnr.state.wi.us</a> <a href="http://www.dnr.state.wi.us/org/caer/cfa/el/recycle/index.html">http://www.dnr.state.wi.us/org/caer/cfa/el/recycle/index.html</a></td>
<td>Provide grants for innovative waste reduction and recycling projects on a pilot or demonstration scale.</td>
<td>Kathryn A. Curtner, Director DNR, Bureau of Community Financial Assistance P.O. Box 7921 - CF/8 Madison, WI 53707-7921 Phone: (608) 266-0860 Fax: (608) 267-0496 <a href="mailto:curtnk@dnr.state.wi.us">curtnk@dnr.state.wi.us</a> <a href="http://www.epa.gov/owm/finan.htm">http://www.epa.gov/owm/finan.htm</a></td>
<td>Previously the Construction Grants Program, this new, more flexible program, with control at the state level, has a wide variety of options, including funding of agriculture best management practices, and manure management and disposal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding amount</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Funding amount</th>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$300,000 in funds available annually for 2001-2002 projects. The maximum grant amount is $150,000 with 5-10 grants funded per year. Approximately $500,000 will be available for 2002-2003.</td>
<td>Application deadline is August 1st of each year.</td>
<td>Low interest loans, or other options such as: refinancing, purchasing, or guaranteeing local debt, and purchasing bond insurance.</td>
<td>Contact Kathryn Curtner for more information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX D: DIGESTER SYSTEM DESIGNERS AND CONSULTANTS AND EQUIPMENT SUPPLIERS

Note: This information may help producers begin looking for odor control, waste management and energy conversion vendors and system designers. The presence of any specific company information in this source does not constitute an endorsement of the company or product effectiveness on the part of either McNeil Technologies, Inc. or any office of the State of Colorado or other government entity.

Great Lakes Regional Biomass Energy Program
STATE BIOMASS COORDINATORS

GREAT LAKES STATES
Fred Kuzel
Director, Great Lakes Regional Biomass Energy Program
Council of Great Lakes Governors
35 East Wacker Drive, Suite 1850
Chicago, IL 60601
Phone: (312) 407-0177
Fax: (312) 407-0036
E-mail: fkuzel@cglg.org
Website: http://www.cglg.org/projects/biomass

FEDERAL
Kurt Roos
AgSTAR Program Director
Mail Stop 6206-J
401 M Street, SW
Washington, DC 20460
Phone: 202/564-9041
Fax: 202/564-9569
E-mail: roos.kurt@epa.gov
Website: www.epa.gov/methane/agstar

ILLINOIS
Norm Marek
Bureau of Energy and Recycling
Illinois Department of Commerce and Community Affairs
620 East Adams
Springfield, IL 62704
Phone: (217) 785-5082, Fax: (217) 785-2618
E-mail: nmarek@commerce.state.il.us

INDIANA
Philip Powlick
Division of Energy Policy
Indiana Department of Commerce
One North Capitol, Suite 700
Indianapolis, IN 46204
Phone: (317) 232-8970, Fax: (317) 232-8995
E-mail: ppowlick@commerce.state.in.us

IOWA
Angela Chen, Executive Officer
Energy Bureau
Iowa Department of Natural Resources
Wallace State Office Building
Des Moines, IA 50319-0034
Phone: 515-281-4736, Fax: 515-281-6794
E-mail: Angela.Chen@dnr.state.ia.us

MICHIGAN
Kelly Laund
Energy Office
Michigan Dept. of Consumer & Industry Services
6545 Mercantile Way, Suite #9
Lansing, MI 48911
Phone: (517) 241-6223, Fax: (517) 241-6229
E-mail: klaund@michigan.gov

MINNESOTA
Lois Mack
Minnesota Department of Commerce
85 7th Place East - Suite 600
St. Paul, MN 55101-2198
Phone: 651-296-8900, Fax: 651-296-5819
E-mail: lmack@dpsv.state.mn.us

OHIO
Bibhakar Shakya
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
Phone: (614) 466-2094, Fax: (614) 752-8352
E-mail: bibhakar.shakya@puc.state.oh.us

WISCONSIN
Alexander F. DePillis
Wisconsin Department of Administration
Division of Energy & Public Benefits
101 East Wilson Street, 6th Floor
P.O. Box 7868 Madison, WI 53707-7868
Phone: (608) 266-1067, Fax: (608) 267-6931
E-mail: alex.depillis@doa.state.wi.us
ANAEROBIC SYSTEMS

AgriWaste Technology, Inc.
Mr. Mac Safley, Jr.
700-108 Blue Ridge Road
Raleigh, NC 27606
Phone: 919/829-0014
Fax: 919/829-1507
E-mail: agriwaste@aol.com

Agway Farm Research Center
Mr. Stanley Weeks
6978 New York, Route 80
Tully, NY 13159
Phone: 315/683-5700

A.O. Smith Harvestore Products, Inc.
345 Harvestore Drive
DeKalb, IL 60115
Phone: 815/756-1561

Applied Technologies, Inc.
Mr. John F. Kouba, P.E.
Senior Project Manager
16815 West Wisconsin Avenue
Brookfield, WI 53005
Phone: 414/784-7690
Fax: 414/784-6847
E-mail: jfkouba@ati-ae.co

BioRecycling Technologies, Inc.
Jim Hamamoto
6101 Cherry Avenue
Fontana, CA 92336
Phone: 909/899-2982
Fax: 909/899-9519

Charles R. Browning & Associates
Suite 102
3008 Anderson Drive
Raleigh, NC 27609
Phone: 919/782-3432
Fax: 919/781-7796

Commercial Engineering Corp.
Skip Newton
2890 Dundee Road
Northbrook, IL 60062-2052
Phone: 847/205-1112 x21
Fax: 847/205-1119

Duke Engineering & Services
Harold L. Backman, PE
PO Box 1004
Charlotte, NC 28210-1004
Phone: 704/382-8570
Fax: 704/383-6970
E-mail: hbackma@duke-engineering.com

Environmental Treatment Systems, Inc.
P.O. Box 94005
Atlanta, GA 30377
Phone: 770/384-0602

ENTEK Biosystems, LC
Bob Urell
PO Box 372
Smithfield, VA 23431-0372
Phone: 757/365-4155
Fax: 757/657-6500
E-mail: entekbio@aol.com

Envirotechnology Systems, Inc.
Paul Serbu
7860 Woodridge Dreive
Gainesville, VA 22075
Phone: 703/754-2042
Fax: 703/456-0414

Environmental Treatment Systems
Charles Ross, PE
Suite 100
1500 Wilson Way
Smyrna, GA 30082
Phone: 702/384-0602

EnviroNomics, Inc.
Mr. Richard Mattocks
36 West 35th Street Suite 5E
New York, NY 10001
Phone: 212/564-7188
E-mail: utter@compuserve.com
Web site: http://www.waste2profits.com/

Feldmann and Associates
Terry Feldmann
11191 Carolyn Court
East Peoria IL 61611
Phone: 309/822-9828
E-mail: tfeldmann@aol.com

Fox Engineering Associates, Inc.
Dale Watson
1531 Airport Road
Ames IA 50010
Phone: 515/233-0000
Fax: 515/233-0103
E-mail: dwatson@foxeng.com
Web site: www.foxeng.com

GHD Inc.
Steve Dvorak
P.O. Box 69
Chilton WI 53004
Phone: 920/849-9797
Fax: 920/849-9160
E-mail: ddghd@tds.net

Hadley and Bennett, Inc.
Spencer Bennett
P.O. Box 517
Henniker NH 03242
Phone: 603/428-3851
Fax: 603/428-3851
E-mail: bennettfarm@conknet.com

L&J Dairy Services
Lee Peterson
319-883-8911

Lock Four
Stacy Gettier
P.O. Box 474
Waverly VA 23890
Phone: 804/834-8317
Fax: NA
E-mail: swg@erols.com

Linde/Lotepro Corporation
Gordon W. Kumke
Mt. Pleasant Corporate Center
115 Stevens Avenue
Valhalla, NY 10595
Phone: 914/749-5204
Fax: 914/747-3422
### Mason Dixon Farms, Inc.
1800 Mason Dixon Road  
Gettysburg, PA 17325  
Phone: 717/334-4056  
E-mail: mdf@cvn.net

### Microgy Cogeneration Systems, Inc.
Benjamin Brant  
1767A Denver West Blvd. Suite 15  
Golden, CO 80401  
Phone: 303/384-9402  
Fax: 303/384-9403  
Web site: www.microgy.com  
E-mail: bbrant@microgy.com

### Northern Power Corporation
Tylor Marks (in Madison, WI)  
608-223-1035 x220

### BLOWERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Contact</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Fax</th>
<th>Web site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aerzen USA Corporation</td>
<td></td>
<td>645 Sands Court, Coatsville, PA 19320</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eg&amp;G Rotron</td>
<td></td>
<td>75 North Street, Saugerties, NY 12477</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gast Manufacturing</td>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### BOILERS/WATER HEATERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Contact</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Fax</th>
<th>Web site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Applied Thermal Systems, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 101493, Nashville, TN 37210</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryan Steam Corporation</td>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 27, Peru, IN 46970</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carolina Technical Representatives, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 1115, Matthews, NC 28105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaver Brooks</td>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 421, Milwaukee, WI 53201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EnerCorp</td>
<td></td>
<td>9369 Olive Blvd., Suite 201, St. Louis, MO 63132</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entech Environmental Services, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>180 Hickory Flat Road, Canton, GA 30114</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Boiler Co., Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 2258, Thomasville, GA 31799</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kewanee Mfg. Co., Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>101 Franklin Street, Kewanee, IL 61443</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North American Manufacturing Co.</td>
<td></td>
<td>4455 E. 71st Street, Cleveland, OH 44105-5600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Resource Conservation Management, Inc.
Mr. Mark Moser  
P.O. Box 4715  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
Phone: 510/658-4466  
Fax: 510/658-2729  
E-mail: rcmdigesters@att.net  
Web site: www.rcmdigesters.com

### Sharp Energy, Inc.
Roy Sharp  
20174 Road 140  
Tulare, CA 93274  
Phone: 559/688-2051  
Fax: 559/688-1111  
E-mail: rsharp6368@aol.co
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone</th>
<th>Fax</th>
<th>E-mail</th>
<th>Web Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precision Temp</td>
<td>1100 Harrison Avenue</td>
<td>513/651-4446</td>
<td>513-641-0733</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.precisiontemp.com">http://www.precisiontemp.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technotherm Corporation</td>
<td>5508 West 66th Street</td>
<td>918/446-1533</td>
<td>918-446-6051</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Technical Associates, Inc.</td>
<td>7202 Impala Drive</td>
<td>804/266-9654</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABSORPTION CHILLERS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Yazaki Corporation</td>
<td>13740 Omega Road</td>
<td>214/385-8725</td>
<td>972-385-1324</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.yazakienergy.com">http://www.yazakienergy.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CECA, Inc. Absorption Technology</td>
<td>4150 S. 100th East Avenue, Suite 300</td>
<td>918/446-1533</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robur Corporation</td>
<td>2300 Lynch Road</td>
<td>812/424-1800</td>
<td>812-422-5117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COGENERATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnco International</td>
<td>5410 Kennon Lane</td>
<td>318/741-1073</td>
<td>318-741-1175</td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.in-gen.com">http://www.in-gen.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kohler Co., Generator Div.</td>
<td>444 Highland Drive</td>
<td>800/544-2444</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://www.kohlergenerators.com">http://www.kohlergenerators.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perennial Energy, Inc.</td>
<td>Ted Landers</td>
<td>417/256-2002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtis Engine and Equipment, Inc.</td>
<td>Mr. Michael Reinhart</td>
<td>317/448-5946</td>
<td>317/448-5985</td>
<td>michaeljreinhart/oh/caterpillar@yahoo.com</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin Machinery Inc.</td>
<td>Mr. Harlan Martin</td>
<td>816/458-7000</td>
<td>816/458-7100</td>
<td><a href="mailto:marmachinerymo@characterlink.net">marmachinerymo@characterlink.net</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregory Poole Power Systems</td>
<td>Mr. Jose Phone: Tripp</td>
<td>919/890-4310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caterpillar Engine Co.</td>
<td>Mr. Richard Ay, Sr.</td>
<td>317/448-5946</td>
<td>317/448-5985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwesco Energy Systems</td>
<td>7720 Lehigh Avenue</td>
<td>708-966-2150</td>
<td>847-966-6549</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Power, Inc.</td>
<td>Ms. Cynthia Rowland</td>
<td>919/876-6222</td>
<td>919/876-1877</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenbacher Energiesysteme, Ltd.</td>
<td>Mr. Karl W. Hartleb, President</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Environmental Inventions, Inc.</td>
<td>Mr. Dennis Cousino</td>
<td>918/486-3085</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Michael Reinhart</td>
<td>Senior Application Consultant</td>
<td>317/448-5946</td>
<td>317/448-5985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Harlan Martin</td>
<td>123 Lakeview Road</td>
<td>816/458-7000</td>
<td>816/458-7100</td>
<td><a href="mailto:marmachinerymo@characterlink.net">marmachinerymo@characterlink.net</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3918 Vero Road, Suite L</td>
<td>708-966-2150</td>
<td>847-966-6549</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800/573-9200</td>
<td>360/647-5178</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410/536-2098</td>
<td>360/647-5178</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-mail: <a href="mailto:sales@curtisengine.com">sales@curtisengine.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web site: <a href="http://www.curtisengine.com">http://www.curtisengine.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>701 Blue Ridge Road</td>
<td>919/890-4310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Stranded Gas Association, Inc.</td>
<td>Mr. Dennis Cousino</td>
<td>918/486-3085</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Dennis Cousino</td>
<td>205 S. Broadway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1502 Providence Highway</td>
<td>1155 North State Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suite #2</td>
<td>918/486-3085</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norwood, MA 02062</td>
<td>205 S. Broadway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone: 617/255-5886</td>
<td>918/486-3085</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: 617/255-5887</td>
<td>918/486-3085</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AgPro, Inc.
Mr. Joe Gribble
President
32854 South Dryland Road
Molalla, OR 97038
Phone: 503/829-4844

Agri-Bio Systems, Inc.
Mr. R.L. Vetter, President
P.O. Box 5144
Elgin, IL 60121
Phone: 847/888-7854
Fax: 847/888-1484
E-mail: rvagribio@aol.com

AgriWaste Technology, Inc.
Mr. Mac Safley
3504 Sloan Court
Raleigh, NC 27606
Phone: 919/829-1507
Fax: 919/829-1507
E-mail: agriwaste@aol.com

Agricultural Engineering Associates
Mr. John A. George
President/Chief Engineer
102 E. Second
Uniontown, KS 66779
Phone: 316/756-4845
Fax: 316/756-4847
Web site: agengineering.com
E-mail: georgeaea@ckt.net

Brubaker Agronomic Consulting Service, Inc.
Mr. Michael Brubaker
President/CEO/Owner
4340 Oregon Pike
Ephrata, PA 17522
Phone: 717/859-3276
Fax: 717/859-3416
Web site: http://www.brubakerag.com

C & S Engineers, Inc.
Mr. Tom Dussing
Environmental Division Manager
1099 Airport Blvd.
North Syracuse, NY 13212
Phone: 315/455-2000 ext 249
Fax: 315/455-9667
Web site: http://www.cscos.com

Commercial Engineering Corporation - Anergen Division
Ashok Bendre
430 Lake Cook Road, Suite B
Deerfield IL 60015
Phone: 847/236-0900
E-mail: arbend@aol.co
Web site: www.anergencorp.co

Dubara Company
Stephen Hoyt
1177 Route 9
Castleton NY 12033
Phone: 518/732-7618
Fax: 518/732-7618
E-mail: steveh@albany.net

E3 Ventures
Gary Suskauer
1140 Kildaire Farm Road, Suite 304
Cary NC 27511
Phone: 919/469-3737
Fax: 919/469-3656
E-mail: gsuskauer@e3ventures.com
Web site: www.e3ventures.com

Ecofuels Corp.
Jeffrey Lightheart
1710 Douglas Drive North
Golden Valley, MN 55422

Entech Environmental Systems, Inc.
Mr. Paul Serbu
Vice President, Systems Development
7860 Woodridge Drive
Gainsville, VA 22065
Phone: 703/754-2042
Fax: 703/476-0414

Environmental Treatment Systems, Inc.
P.O. Box 94005
Atlanta, GA 30377
Phone: 770/384-0602
Fax: 770/384-0603

Environomics, Inc.
Mr. Richard Mattocks
36 West 35th Street
Suite 5E
New York, NY 10001
Phone: 212/564-7188
Fax: 718/884-6726
E-mail: utter@compuserve.com
Web site: http://www.waste2profits.com/

GHD Inc.
Steve Dvorak
P.O. Box 69
Chilton WI 53014
Phone: 920/849-9797
Fax: 920/849-9160
E-mail: ddghd@tds.net
IGT
J. Robert Paterek, Ph.D
1700 S. Mount Prospect Roa
Des Plaines IL 60016
Phone: 847/768-0720
Fax: 847/768-0546
E-mail: paterek@gastechnology.org
Web site: http://www.gastechnology.org

IGT
Laurence M. Feder
1700 S. Mount Prospect Road
Des Plaines IL 60016
Phone: 847/768-0521
Fax: 847/768-0516
E-mail: lanny_feder@gastechnology.org
Web site: http://www.gastechnology.org

IGT
Laurence M. Feder
1700 S. Mount Prospect Road
Des Plaines IL 60016
Phone: 847/768-0521
Fax: 847/768-0516
E-mail: lanny_feder@gastechnology.org
Web site: http://www.gastechnology.org

National Methane
William J. Lingo, Sr.
905 Glendean Ave., Suite 8
Riverside OH 45431
Phone: 937/256-750
Fax: 937/256-750
E-mail: bilingo@dma.org

Nelson and Associates
D.O. "Swede" Nelson
76 Almendral Ave.
Atherton CA 94027
Phone: 650/364-7273
Fax: 650/367-0365
E-mail: swedenelson@msn.com

Perennial Energy, Inc.
Mr. Ted Landers
Route 1, Box 645
West Plains, MO 65775
Phone: 417/256-2002
Fax: 417-256-2801

Resource Conservation Management, Inc.
Mr. Mark Moser
P.O. Box 4715
Berkeley, CA 94704
Phone: 510/658-4466
Fax: 510/658-2729
E-mail: rcmdigesters@att.net
Web site: www.rcmdigesters.com

Richard Chambers
Richard Chambers
1102 Don Cubero Ave
Santa Fe NM 87501
E-mail: Rhcha@aol.com

Richmond Energy Associates
Jeff Forward
P.O. Box 615
Richmond VA 05477
Phone: 802/434-3770
Fax: 802/434-2344
E-mail: forward@together.net

Taylor & Thomas Environmental, Inc.
Dennis Crabtree, Pres.
2669 Cristal Circle
Dunedin, FL 34698
Phone: 727-781-5846
Fax: 727-781-5759

VerdiTech
Ken Abercrombie
12749 East Heather Dr
Clovis CA 93611
Phone: 559/297-7370
Fax: 559/297-7370
E-mail: verditch@aol.com

ENGINES
CEC Consultants
John Puskar
6907 Brookpark Rd.
Cleveland OH 44129
Phone: 216/749-2992
Fax: 216/398-8403
E-mail: cec@apk.net
Web site: www.cec-consultants.com

Reflective Energies
Edan Prabhu
22922 Tiagua
Mission Viejo CA 92692
Phone: 949/380-4899
Fax: 949/380-8407
E-mail: edanprabhu@msn.co

STM Corporation
(mfg. Stirling engines)
275 Metty Drive
Ann Arbor, MI 48103
Phone: 734/995-1755
E-mail: LenSTM@aol.com

FLARES/THERMAL OXIDIZERS
Flaregas Corporation
100 Airport Executive Park
Spring Valley, NY 10977
Phone: 914/352-8700
Fax: 914-352-4464

Groth Equipment Corporation
P.O. Box 15293
Houston, TX 77220-5293
Phone: 713/675-6151
Fax: 713-675-7639
E-mail: sales@grothcorp.com
Web site: http://www.grothcorp.com

John Zink Co.
P.O. Box 21220
Tulsa, OK 74121-1220
Phone: 918/234-1800
Fax: 918-234-2700
Web site: http://www.kochind.com
Landfill Technologies, Inc.
Mr. George Nealon
P.O. Box 519
West Sand Lake, NY 12196
Phone: 518/674-8604

LFG Specialties, Inc.
725 South Friendship Drive
New Concord, OH 43762
Phone: 614/826-7686
Fax: 614/826-4948
Web site: http://www.mail@lfgspecialties.com

McNeil Technologies, Inc.
Mr. Scott Haase
350 Indiana Street, Ste. 800
Golden, CO 80401
Phone: 303/273-0071
Fax: 303/273-0074

NAO, Inc.
1284 East Sadgley Avenue
Philadelphia, PA 19134
Phone: 800/523-3495
Fax: 215-743-3018

Perennial Energy, Inc.
Route 1, Box 645
West Plains, MO 65775
Phone: 417/256-2002
Fax: 417-256-2801

Tornado Flare Systems, Inc.
10543B W. Little York
Houston, TX 77041
Phone: 409/732-5546
Fax: 409-732-2393
Web site: tornadoflare.com

Whitehorse Technologies, Inc.
3211 Shannon Street
Santa Ana, CA 92704
Phone: 714/438-9270
Fax: 714-438-9275

FLOATING COVERS

AmbiaTech, Inc.
Aeroblanket
James W. Barnett, Jr., PhD
6505 Alasan Cove
Austin, Texas 78730
Web site:
http://www.nppc.org/PROD/OSI/cavanaugh/summaries.html

Best Incorporated
Mr. David Barnes
President
1108 Nowell Road
Raleigh, NC 27607
Phone: 919/851-3009
Fax: 919/851-1224

Baumgartner Environics
BioCap technology
2510 West Lincoln, Suite 4 Olivia, MN 56277
Phone: (320) 523-1644
E-mail: biocap@bei-ec.com
Web site: http://www.bei-ec.com/

Burke Environmental Products
2250 S. Tenth Street
San Jose, CA 95112
Phone: 408/297-3500
Fax: 408-820-0938

Cooley Engineered Membranes, Inc.
P.O. Box 939
Pawtucket, RI 02862
Phone: 800/444-4023
Fax: 401-725-4190

Engineered Textile Products, Inc.
Mr. Carter Damp
P.O. Box 7474
Mobile, AL 36670
Phone: 334/476-8001
Fax: 334/476-0956
E-mail: cdamp2@aol.com
Web site: www.etpinfo.com

Environetics, Inc.
1200 Commerce Street
Lockport, IL 60441
Phone: 815/838-8331
Fax: 815-838-8336
E-mail: envnet@aol.com

Environmental Fabrics, Inc.
Mr. Dennis Shanklin
President
2014 Rockland Road
Columbia, SC 29210
Phone: 803/551-5700
Fax: 803/551-5701

GSE Lining Technology, Inc.
(formerly Gundl Lining)
19103 Gundl Road
Houston, TX 77073
Phone: 713/443-8564
Fax: 281-875-6010
Web site: http://www.ieccovers.com

Industrial & Environmental Concepts, Inc.
6009 Chapel Drive
Suite 101
Minneapolis, MN 55439
Phone: 612/829-0731
Fax: 612-829-9770
Web site: http://www.ieccovers.com

LBI Technologies, Inc.
Mr. Jordan Colburn
Factory Representative
31 Corte Ortega #24
Greenbrae, CA 94904
Phone: 415/925-0226
Fax: 415/400-0306

Lemna USA, Inc.
1408 Northland Drive, Suite 310
St. Paul, MN 55120-1013
Phone: 612/688-0836
Fax: 612/688-8813
GAS HANDLING

Impco
Department ASE
16916 Gridley Place
Cerritos, CA 90701

Perennial Energy, Inc.
Route 1, Box 645
West Plains, MO 65775
Phone: 417/256-2002
Fax: 417-256-2801

Protectseal Co.
225 Foster Avenue
Bensenville, IL 60106
Phone: 708/595-0800
Fax: 630-595-8059
E-mail: info@protectoseal.com
Web site: http://www.protectoseal.com

Whessoe Varec
Mr. Richard Santucci
Biogas Business Unit Manager
10800 Valley View Street
Cypress, CA 90630
Phone: 714/761-1300
Fax: 714/952-2701
Web site: http://www.whessoevarec.com

GAS METERS

American Meter Co.
13500 Philmont Avenue
Philadelphia, PA 19116
Phone: 215/673-2100
Fax: 215-830-1890
Web site: http://www.americanmeter.com

Fluid Components International
1755 La Costa Meadows Drive
San Marcos, CA 92069-5187
Phone: 760/736-6250
Fax: 760-736-6250
E-mail: sales_marketing@fluidcomponents.com
Web site: http://www.fluidcomponents.com

Kurz Instruments, Inc.
2411 Garden Road
Monterey, CA 93940
Phone: 800/424-7356

HEATERS/HEAT EXCHANGERS

Modine Manufacturing Co.
1500 DeKoven Avenue
Racine, WI 53403-2552
Phone: 414/636-1200
Fax: 414-636-1424

Mueller Co.
P.O. Box 828
Springfield, MO 65801-0828
Phone: 417/831-3000
Fax: 417-831-3528
E-mail: sales@muel.com
Web site: http://www.paulmueller.com

W. A. Kraft Corporation
45 Sixth Road
Woburn, MA 01888
Phone: 617/938-9100
Fax: 781-933-7812
## INSTRUMENTATION

**Bacharach, Inc.**  
625 Alpha Drive  
Pittsburgh, PA 15238  
Phone: 800/736-4666  
Fax: 412-963-2091

**Dresser Measurement, Dresser Industries**  
P.O. Box 42176  
Houston, TX 77242-2176  
Phone: 713/972-5000  
Fax: 713-972-5003  
Web site: http://www.halliburton.com

**Dwyer Instruments, Inc.**  
P.O. Box 373  
Michigan City, IN 46360  
Phone: 219/879-8000  
Fax: 219-872-9057  
E-mail: info@dwyer-inst.com  
Web site: http://www.dwyer-inst.com

**ENMET Corporation**  
P.O. Box 979  
Ann Arbor, MI 48106  
Phone: 734-761-1270  
Fax: 734-761-3220  
E-mail: info@enment.com  
Web site: http://www.enmet.com

**Fischer & Porter Co.**  
125 E. Country Line Road  
Warminster, PA 18974  
Phone: 215/674-6000  
Fax: 215-674-7181  
Web site: http://www.fp.com

**Matheson Gas Products, Inc.**  
30 Seaview Drive  
P.O. Box 1587  
Secaucus, NJ 07096-1587  
Phone: 201-867-4100  
Fax: 201-933-1928  
Web site: http://www.mathesongas.com

**U.S. Industrial Products Co., Inc.**  
13926 Equitable Road  
Cerritos, CA 90703  
Phone: 562/802-9688

## OTHER

**AmeriScan Technologies Corp.**  
Mr. Robert F. Urell  
President  
8545 Kapp Drive  
Peosta, IA 52068  
Phone: 319/582-9856  
*Equipment/Systems Vendor*

**Biogas Industries, Inc.**  
Mr. Larry Foster  
President  
P.O. Box 278  
Moody, TX 76557  
Phone: 817/853-3904  
Fax: 817/853-3823  
*Methane promoter*

**Convergent Biomass Technologies**  
Mr. Mark Heffernan  
President  
1725 N. Woods Street  
Suite NW  
Round Lake Beach, IL 60073  
2 phase digester licensee

**Biogas Works--Resource Development Associates**  
240 Ninth Street, NE  
Washington DC 20002-6110  
Phone: 202.546.6283  
Fax: 202.546.3518  
E-Mail: plusk@pipeline.com  
Web site  
www.biogasworks.com

**Crane Engineering Sales Inc.**  
PO Box 38 707 Ford Street  
Kimberly WI 54136-0038  
Michael Ruge  
Phone: 920-733-4425  
Fax: 920-733-0050  
E-Mail: mikerug@crane-eng.com  
Website http://www.crane-eng.com  
*Process equipment design and equipment supply, including piping, metering, filters, mixers, valves, and design of piping*

**Commonwealth Resource Management Corp.**  
Mr. Anton Finelli  
199 Corey Street  
Boston, MA 02132
Creative Land Management International
Mr. Rob Lintz
6450 Sand Lake Road
Dayton, OH 45413
Phone: 937/427-5560
Fax: 937/454-2919
International building materials and resource recovery projects.

CropKing Inc.
5050 Greenwich Road
Seville, OH 44273
Phone: 330/769-2002
Fax: 330/769-2616
Web site: http://www.cropking.com
Hydroponics/Greenhouses

D.J.F. Electrical Systems
Mr. Douglas Fink, Owner
PO Box 544
Harrisburg, NC 28075
Phone: 704-597-5018
E-mail: dugit2@aol.com
Electrical contractor

Evergreen Bioservices
Mr. David Sergnier
RR 7, Box 488
Ada, OK 74820
Phone: 405/332-3110
Fax: 405-222-0898
E-mail: surgnier@cwix.com
Bioremediation company

Farm Research & Development Corp.
Mr. Andrew T. Barrett
Sales Executive
13915 I Avenue
Fayette, IA 52142

George Brothers
Mr. James George
President
P.O. Box 232
Okauchee, WI 53069
Phone: 414/569-1758
Fax: 414/569-1828
Reclamation of energy, feed content and by-products from organic waste streams

Gothic Arch Greenhouses
Mr. W.H. Sierke, Jr.
President/CEO
P.O. Box 1564
Mobile, AL 36633-1564
Phone: 334/432-7529
Fax: 334-432-7972
Web site: http://www.zebra.net/~gothic
Greenhouse design for alternative energy uses

Grow Moore Products
Mr. Del Gurley
Production Manager
6 Shadowood Drive
Conroe, TX 77304
Phone: 409/441-1676
Environmental consulting/Organics production and Manufacturing

IES, Inc.
Mr. Richard Ransom
President
1222 W. Shaw Avenue
Fresno, CA 93711
Phone: 209/226-6611
Fax: 209/225-6762
Anaerobic digestion/cogeneration.

IoNova
Mr. David Tepperman
Vice President, Sales
209/225-6762
Anaerobic digestion/cogeneration.

J.E. Gasho & Associates
Mr. James Gasho, President
460 W. Gay Street
West Chester, PA 19380
Phone: 610/692-5650
Fax: 610/692-5857
Factory representatives for air moving equipment.

Marquette University, Air Quality Center
Civil & Env. Engineering, PO Box 1881
Milwaukee WI 53201-1881
Daniel Zitomer
Phone: 414-288-5733
Fax: 414-288-7521
E-Mail: daniel.zitomer@marquette.edu
Web site http://www.eng.mu.edu/departments/ceen/environmental.html
Anaerobic treatability studies, enhanced gas production, improved settleability, and Wisconsin certified laboratory testing

Michigan State University
Keith Tinsey
Dept. of Agricultural Engineering
Directory of the MI Agricultural Electric Council
103C Farrall Hall
East Lansing, MI 48824
Phone: 517/353-0643
E-mail: tinsey@msu.edu

Omega-Alpha Recycling Systems
Mr. Robert Hamburg
Director
Route 1, Box 51
Orma, WY 25268
Phone: 304/655-8662
E-mail: anomalous@wvadventures.net
Research and development of integrated organic production recycling systems.
Robert E. Lee & Associates  
2825 S. Webster St.  
Green Bay WI 54306  
Richard Swiontak  
Phone: 920-336-6338 Fax: 920-336-9141  
E-Mail: r.swiontek@releeinc.com  
Web site www.releeinc.com  
A full-service consulting firm specializing in civil and environmental engineering, planning, surveying and comprehensive laboratory testing.

Rutan Research  
P.O. Box 3766  
Minneapolis MN 55403-0766  
Al Rutan  
Phone: 612-870-7461  
Fax:  
E-Mail: arutan@commonlink.net  
Web site www.commonlink.com/~methane/  
Thirty years international and domestic design and development of methane gas-producing equipment.

SWS Incorporated  
Mr. Richard Gray  
1148 Soria Avenue  
Orlando, Fl 32807  
Phone: 407/382-0250  
Fax: 407/382-0250  
System for generating methane gas from manure handling systems. Scientific Well Stimulation (SWS)

Universal Entech  
Mr. Daniel A. Musgrove  
Vice President and Project Manager  
12235 N. Cave Creek Road  
#6360  
Phoenix, AZ 85022  
Phone: 602/971-4803  
Fax: 602/971-4803  
Integrated waste management.

UW Madison  
115 Agricultural Engineering  
Bldg 460 Henry Hall  
Madison WI 53706  
Jim Converse  
Phone: 608-262-1106  
Fax:  
E-Mail: jconverse@facstaff.wisc.edu

TANKS  
A.O. Smith Engineered Storage Products Company  
Rick Jones, Sales  
345 Harvestore Drive  
DeKalb, IL 60115  
Phone: 815-756-1551  
Fax: 815-756-1659  
Web site:  
http://www.aosmith.com/  OR  
www.slurrystore.com  
E-mail: rljones@esp.aosmith.com